

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229427606>

Assessing the Zinc solubilization ability of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in maize rhizosphere using labelled ^{65}Zn compounds

Article in *Indian Journal of Microbiology* · October 2010

DOI: 10.1007/s12088-010-0066-1 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

6

READS

36

4 authors, including:



[C. Sarathambal](#)

Indian Council of Agricultural Research

18 PUBLICATIONS 23 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Assessing the Zinc solubilization ability of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in maize rhizosphere using labelled ^{65}Zn compounds

C. Sarathambal · M. Thangaraju · C. Paulraj · M. Gomathy

Received: 30 July 2007 / Accepted: 21 February 2008
© Association of Microbiologists of India 2010

Abstract Solubilization of insoluble zinc compounds like ZnCO_3 and ZnO by *G. diazotrophicus* was confirmed using radiotracers. The zinc compounds (ZnCO_3 and ZnO) were tagged with ^{65}Zn . $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ and ^{65}ZnO was effectively solubilized and the uptake of Zn by the plants also more in *G. diazotrophicus* inoculated treatments compared to the uninoculated treatments. Three types of soils (Zn deficient-sterile, Zn deficient-unsterile, and Zn sufficient-sterile) were used in experiment. Among the three soils, Zn deficient-unsterile soil registered maximum zinc solubilization compared to other two soils. This may be due to other soil microorganisms in unsterile soil. Application of ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* showed better uptake of the nutrient.

Keywords *G. diazotrophicus* · $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ · ^{65}ZnO · Radioassay

Introduction

The global necessity to increase agriculture production from a steadily decreasing and degrading land resource base has placed considerable strain on the fragile ecosystems. While the use of mineral fertilizers is considered the quickest and surest way of boosting crop production, their cost and other constraints deter farmers from using them in recommended quantities [24]. Micronutrients are important for the growth of plants animals and also for the microbes [6]. In biological systems, Zn is involved in the activity of more than 300 enzymes.

The zinc deficiency scenario is some how analogues to the phosphorus deficiency, since both the nutrients are deficient in Indian soils with an average of about 40 percent [13, 21]. The water soluble zinc applied as zinc sulphate gets transformed into different forms like $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})$ and $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$ at a pH of 7.7 and 9.1 [22]; zinc carbonate in calcium rich alkali soils [10]; zinc phosphate in near neutral to alkali soils of high phosphorus application [4, 11]; and zinc sulphide under reduced conditions. Zyrin et al. [25] reported that zinc in soils associated mainly with hydrous Fe and Al oxides (14 to 38 per cent of total Zn) and with clay minerals (24 to 63 per cent). There was also a finding that movement of fertilizer Zn to lower layers was found negligible in cultivated soils [21]. All this findings strongly supports that Zn gets transformed into insoluble forms after application similar to phosphorus and this leads to appearance of Zn deficiency.

The organic based zinc nutrition is best since its Zn use efficiency is more. A bacterial based approach was devised to solve the micronutrient deficiency problem [17, 2]. The basic principle behind this approach is decreasing the pH to

C. Sarathambal¹ (✉) · M. Thangaraju¹ · C. Paulraj² · M. Gomathy¹
¹Department of Agricultural Microbiology,
TNAU, Coimbatore - 3, India

Present address: Directorate of weed science research,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482 004, India

²Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry,
TNAU, Coimbatore - 3, India

E-mail: csaratha@yahoo.co.in

5 or below and making zinc soluble and as a consequence the available zinc will get increased in the soil system [22]. A term called zinc solubilizing bacteria (ZSB) was coined for those bacteria that are capable of solubilizing the insoluble zinc compounds / minerals in agar plate as well as in soil [17, 2]

A preliminary idea to solve zinc nutrition using a bacterium was put forward and further the mechanism behind the solubilization was elucidated under in vitro conditions by *G. diazotrophicus* – a prolific gluconic acid producing bacterium [3, 18]. So far *G. diazotrophicus* was widely recognized because of its nitrogen fixing ability [20].

Materials and methods

Black cotton soil was used for the pot culture experiments. The available Zn content of the soil was found at the below critical level and hence the collected soil was treated as Zn deficient soil. In order to conduct the experiment in Zn sufficient soil, simultaneously, the soil collected was enriched with Zn by addition of $ZnSO_4$ and the Zn content was monitored for one month.

Seeds and sowing

Maize seeds (Co1) obtained from the Department of Millets, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore was used.

Estimation of total zinc content in soil

Total zinc in the soil was estimated in HCl extract following the method of Lindsay and Norvel [12].

Estimation of available zinc content in soil

The soil sample was collected following the standard method, air dried, powdered and sieved to pass through a 2 mm mesh British standard sieve (BSS). The available zinc content of the soil was estimated using the diethylene triamine penta acetic acid extract following the standard procedure of Lindsay and Norvell [12].

Setting of pot culture experiment

The Zn deficient soil was a divided in to two portions. One portion was sterilized in the autoclave at 20 lb for 2 hrs for two consecutive days. The other portion was used as such (unsterile soil). Similarly Zn sufficient soil was sterilized and taken as sterile soil. All the three types of soils (Zn deficient – sterile, Zn deficient – unsterile and

Zn sufficient – sterile) were filled in the 18 tubular pots each at 750 g/pot.

Inoculation of *G. diazotrophicus*

Tenth day after sowing 24 hrs old fresh culture of *G. diazotrophicus* (8×10^8 /ml) inoculated at 10 ml per pot as per the treatment.

^{65}Zn tagging with the fertilizer and application

Two zinc sources viz., $ZnCO_3$ and ZnO were taken for the isotopic experiment. The ^{65}Zn compound required for tagging with $ZnCO_3$ and ZnO was obtained from Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay. The tagging was done at 1mCi/g of Zn, using the principle of Isotope Dilution Technique (IDT). Carbon flux was fixed as 100 and the duration of the irradiation was about 6 days. The labelled $ZnCO_3$ and ZnO were applied on 17th day after sowing at the rate of 0.117 g and 0.18 g respectively as per the treatment schedule.

Intercultural operations

The pots were watered with double distilled water as judged by the soil moisture. Plant protection measures were adopted as and when required.

Radioassay of plant materials

At 15 days interval after the application of Zn sources, one plant from each treatment was uprooted, shade dried and then oven dried. One gram of the plant material from each treatment was ashed in silica crucible and transferred to scintillation vial. The vial was then placed in a T1- activated NaI crystal, well type gamma ray spectrometer (Type GRS, 23B, Electronics Corporation of India Ltd., Hyderabad) and the radioactivity of the samples was determined by integral counting, keeping the single channel analyzer at optimal window and lower level settings. The radioactivity of the fertilizer standards was also determined.

Analysis of soil and plant samples for zinc

The total Zn content, available Zn content in soil and Total Zn content of maize seedlings was analyzed at 15 days interval in the pot culture experiment up to 45 days. Total zinc content of maize seedlings [8].

Results

The initial N, P, K, total zinc and available zinc content of soil used for experiment were estimated. The available

nitrogen content of soil was in the range between 295 kg/ha and 304 kg/ha in their soils. Similarly the available phosphorus content was from 10.2 kg/ha to 13.5 kg/ha and potassium was between 215 kg/ha and 265 kg/ha. Though the total Zn content showed not much variation, the available Zn content was more (1.8 ppm) in Zn sufficient soil compared to Zn deficient (0.4 ppm) soil (Table 1).

A gradual decrease in the total zinc content of soil was noticed with the growth of maize. At all the three stages and in the three samples used, the reduction was more in the *G. diazotrophicus* inoculated treatments. The reduction in total soil zinc was found maximum in the zinc deficient unsterile soil than sterile zinc sufficient and deficient soils. Among the zinc sources ^{65}ZnO application with *G. diazotrophicus*

Table 1 Initial nutrient status of soil used for the pot culture experiment

| Soil | Available soil nitrogen (Kg/ha) | Available soil phosphorus (Kg/ha) | Available soil potassium (Kg/ha) | Soil total zinc (ppm) | Soil available zinc (ppm) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Zn sufficient soil (sterile) | 304 | 13.5 | 265 | 63 | 1.8 |
| Zn deficient soil (sterile) | 298 | 10.2 | 215 | 64 | 0.4 |
| Zn deficient soil (unsterile) | 295 | 11.2 | 224 | 67 | 0.4 |

Table 2 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on the total Zn content of soil grown with maize

| Treatment | Total zinc content of soil (ppm) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 63.0 | 62.5 | 61.0 | 62.6 | 64.0 | 63.2 | 62.0 | 63.06 | 67.0 | 66.2 | 65.2 | 66.13 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ lona | 75.0 | 74.0 | 72.2 | 72.2 | 71.0 | 69.5 | 68.2 | 69.56 | 74.0 | 72.0 | 70.2 | 72.06 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 60.0 | 56.0 | 51.0 | 55.6 | 58.0 | 52.0 | 48.0 | 52.66 | 56.0 | 69.0 | 42.0 | 55.66 |
| ^{65}ZnO lona | 72.5 | 72.0 | 72.6 | 72.36 | 69.5 | 68.7 | 66.2 | 68.13 | 69.0 | 68.3 | 62.3 | 65.33 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 61.2 | 57.1 | 51.4 | 56.56 | 55.0 | 51.5 | 47.4 | 51.30 | 67.0 | 52.5 | 43.4 | 54.30 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 65.0 | 63.0 | 60.0 | 62.66 | 67.0 | 64.2 | 62.2 | 64.46 | 66.0 | 62.4 | 60.2 | 62.86 |

Table 3 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on the available Zn content in soil grown with maize

| Treatment | Available zinc content of soil (ppm) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|------|---------------------|----------|----------|------|---------------------|----------|----------|------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 2.20 | 1.80 | 1.75 | 1.91 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.34 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ lona | 2.30 | 1.90 | 1.82 | 1.70 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.42 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 2.10 | 2.00 | 1.70 | 2.00 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.72 |
| ^{65}ZnO lona | 2.25 | 1.80 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 2.15 | 2.10 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.59 | 0.78 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 2.20 | 1.70 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 |

(51.30 ppm) showed more reduction in total zinc content (Table 2).

A gradual decrease in the available zinc content of soil was noticed with the growth of maize. At all the three stages and in the three samples used, available zinc content of soil was more in the *G. diazotrophicus* inoculated treatments. Among the treatments $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ with *G. diazotrophicus* treatment showed more available Zn (2.00 ppm) (Table 3).

Percent zinc derived from fertilizer showed a progressive increase up to 45th day with zinc and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculated treatments. Among the treatments $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ with *G. diazotrophicus* and ^{65}ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* registered the maximum %Zndff. Unsterile Zn deficient soil (0.172 ppm) showed higher %Zndff than other soils) (Table 4).

Zinc uptake from fertilizer showed a conspicuous increase with the sampling period. While comparing the treatments the zinc uptake from fertilizer was higher in $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ with *G. diazotrophicus* (0.058 mg/pot) and ^{65}ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* (0.062 mg/pot). The uptake of fertilizer was higher in the unsterile Zn deficient soil (0.062 mg/pot) when compared to other two types of soils (Table 5).

The percent zinc derived from soil showed a dramatic decline at growing stages of plant. With increasing Zndff, the %Zndfs showed a decline in treatments. Treatments without inoculation of *G. diazotrophicus* (99.98%, 100%) showed higher %Zndfs. Sterile Zn sufficient soil registered the highest %Zndfs (Table 6).

Table 4 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on percent zinc derived from fertilizer

| Treatment | % Zndff | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ loae | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.035 | 0.039 | 0.040 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.143 | 0.043 | 0.075 | 0.048 | 0.049 | 0.043 | 0.046 |
| ^{65}ZnO loae | 0.086 | 0.088 | 0.086 | 0.087 | 0.079 | 0.112 | 0.103 | 0.098 | 0.096 | 0.130 | 0.105 | 0.110 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.157 | 0.151 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.159 | 0.167 | 0.154 | 0.16 | 0.179 | 0.180 | 0.158 | 0.172 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 5 Influence of Zn^{65} application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on uptake of Zn derived from applied fertilizer

| Treatment | Zinc uptake (mg/pot) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ loae | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.0061 | 0.005 | 0.0037 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.0037 | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.007 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.140 | 0.020 | 0.058 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.014 |
| ^{65}ZnO loae | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.032 | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.040 | 0.030 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.035 | 0.048 | 0.065 | 0.065 | 0.043 | 0.040 | 0.077 | 0.041 | 0.046 | 0.055 | 0.085 | 0.062 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on percent zinc derived from soil

| Treatment | % Zndfs | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ lone | 99.98 | 99.97 | 99.96 | 99.97 | 99.98 | 99.97 | 99.96 | 99.97 | 99.98 | 99.97 | 99.96 | 99.97 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 99.97 | 99.96 | 99.95 | 99.96 | 99.96 | 99.95 | 99.94 | 99.95 | 99.96 | 99.95 | 99.94 | 99.95 |
| ^{65}ZnO lone | 99.94 | 99.91 | 99.90 | 99.93 | 99.92 | 99.88 | 99.87 | 99.89 | 99.90 | 99.87 | 99.80 | 99.89 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 99.86 | 99.84 | 99.82 | 99.86 | 99.84 | 99.83 | 99.82 | 99.84 | 99.82 | 99.82 | 99.80 | 99.82 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 7 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on uptake of Zn derived from soil

| Treatment | Zinc uptake (mg/pot) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 21.79 | 23.40 | 29.40 | 23.06 | 14.25 | 17.4 | 22.14 | 17.93 | 16.38 | 21.28 | 23.71 | 20.75 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ lone | 23.99 | 26.69 | 29.39 | 26.43 | 21.95 | 23.56 | 27.09 | 22.86 | 17.85 | 22.43 | 36.10 | 25.46 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 18.68 | 34.14 | 45.68 | 32.83 | 36.23 | 37.31 | 47.54 | 39.02 | 26.86 | 28.30 | 47.52 | 33.56 |
| ^{65}ZnO lone | 24.28 | 25.40 | 31.47 | 27.05 | 20.84 | 24.17 | 32.36 | 25.79 | 22.77 | 22.85 | 39.96 | 28.52 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 19.54 | 31.80 | 46.85 | 32.73 | 27.55 | 30.12 | 49.92 | 35.86 | 26.20 | 30.54 | 53.91 | 36.88 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 21.92 | 22.45 | 25.80 | 23.05 | 19.83 | 22.60 | 28.82 | 23.76 | 21.78 | 27.25 | 33.60 | 27.54 |

The zinc uptake from soil showed prominent increased with the progressive stages of sampling. The total Zn uptake from the soil was lower in control (17.93 mg/pot) and *G. diazotrophicus* alone applied treatments (23.05 mg/pot) in all the stages. $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ with *G. diazotrophicus* (39.02 mg/pot) and ^{65}ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* (36.88 mg/pot) registered the highest zinc uptake. In general ^{65}ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* (39.02 mg/pot) recorded that maximum uptake of zinc up to 45 days. Among the three soils, sterile Zn sufficient soil registered maximum Zn uptake compare to other two soils (Table 7). Inoculation of *G. diazotrophicus* with ^{65}ZnO and $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ enhanced the zinc utilization from the applied fertilizer, irrespective of the soils. However, the percent utilization was recorded maximum in the zinc deficient soil then the other two soils used (Table 8).

Discussion

Radio tracer technique is an important tool to investigate the nutrient uptake in crop plants. Studying plant-microbe interaction using isotope technology was reported (Jim et al. 2006). In the present study experiment was conducted to assess the zinc solubilization potential of *G. diazotrophicus* using labelled ^{65}Zn compounds (^{65}ZnO and $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$). The results showed that the inoculation of *G. diazotrophicus* with ^{65}ZnO and $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ found to increase the available zinc content in the soil. However the available zinc content was more in zinc deficient unsterile soil than the sterile zinc sufficient and zinc deficient soils. This clearly indicated that microorganism other than *G. diazotrophicus* may also involved the solubilization of insoluble zinc sources. Zn

Table 8 Influence of ^{65}Zn application and *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation on percent utilization of applied fertilizer

| Treatment | Percent utilization of applied fertilizer | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Sterile soil | | | | | | | | Unsterile soil | | | |
| | Zinc sufficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | | Zinc deficient soil | | | |
| | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean | 15th day | 30th day | 45th day | Mean |
| Control (without Zn and without <i>G. diazotrophicus</i>) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ lone | 0.028 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.041 | 0.027 |
| $^{65}\text{ZnCO}_3$ + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.037 | 0.038 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.040 | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.080 | 0.058 |
| ^{65}ZnO lone | 0.082 | 0.086 | 0.085 | 0.084 | 0.058 | 0.111 | 0.098 | 0.098 | 0.095 | 0.126 | 0.100 | 0.107 |
| ^{65}ZnO + <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> | 0.176 | 0.150 | 0.135 | 0.153 | 0.076 | 0.132 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.175 | 0.180 | 0.190 | 0.168 |
| <i>G. diazotrophicus</i> alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

solubilizing potential of few bacterial genera namely *Bacillus* spp, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *T.thiooxidans* have been reported [7, 5]. Comparatively inoculated organism better in solubilization in zinc deficient soil than zinc sufficient soil and hence the growth of maize seedlings was better in the zinc deficient soil. Irrespective of soil types, the inoculation of *G. diazotrophicus* showed higher plant zinc content. The total zinc content was more in unsterile zinc deficient soil. This may due to more zinc available due to solubilization of insoluble zinc compounds by soil microorganisms or *G. diazotrophicus* in unsterile soil.

The per cent zinc derived from fertilizer (%Zndff) was found more in maize seedlings grown on zinc deficient soil. However %Zndff was evidently more in maize grown on the three soil types were *G. diazotrophicus* was inoculated compared to uninoculated counterparts. It is obvious from the results that *G. diazotrophicus* is the one which made available the zinc from insoluble sources for maize crop. Application of ZnO with *G. diazotrophicus* showed better uptake of the nutrient. ZnO is a sparingly soluble compound ($3\text{--}5 \times 10^4\text{g}^{-1} 100^{-1}$ water at 25°C) [16] compared to ZnCO_3 might be the added advantage of ZnO.

In general from the results of present investigation, it is obvious that *G. diazotrophicus* is capable of solubilizing insoluble zinc compounds through the production of gluconic acid, and inoculation of this organism in zinc deficient soils will certainly help for the alleviation of zinc deficiency under sustainable crop production.

References

1. Agbenin JO (2003) Zinc fractions and solubility in a tropical semi-arid soil under long-term cultivation. *Biol Fertl Soils* 37:83–89
2. Raj SA (2002) Biofertilizers for Micronutrients. *Biofert News Lett* 10:8–10
3. Atwood MM, Hohannes P, Van Dijken and Pronk JT (1991) Glucose metabolism and gluconic acid production by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*. *J Ferment Bioeng* 72:101–105
4. Baruah TC, and Barthakur HP (1999) A textbook of soil analysis. Vikas publishers, New Delhi, India. pp 334
5. Di Simone CD, Sayer JA and Gadd GM (1998) Solubilization of zinc phosphate by a strain of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from a forest soil. *Biol Fertl Soils* 28: 87–94
6. Hughes MN and Poole RK (1989) Metals and microorganisms. Chapman and Hall, London. p 412
7. Hutchins SR, Davidson MS, Brierey JA, Brierley CL (1986) *Microorganisms in reclamation of metals*. *Ann Rev Microbiol* 40:311–336
8. Jackson RM (1973) *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Pretince Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp 37–40
9. Prosser JI, Rangel-Castro JI and Killham K (2006) Studying Plant – microbe interactions using stable isotope technologies. *Current opinion in biotechnology* 17:98–102
10. Kalbasi M, Racz GJ and Lewen-Rudgers LA (1978) Reaction products and solubility of applied zinc compounds in some Manitoba soils. *Soil Sci* 125:55–64
11. Krishnaswamy R (1993) Effect of phosphatic fertilization on zinc adsorption in some vertisol and inceptisol. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci* 41:251–255
12. Lindsay L and Norvel WA (1978) Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 42:421–428
13. Motsara MR (2002) Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium status of Indian soil as depicted by soil fertility maps. *Fert News* 47:15–21
14. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanab FS and Dean L (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S.D.A. Cere, U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington DC
15. Panse VG and Sukhatme PV (1976) *Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers*. I.C.A.R. Publ., New Delhi, India

16. Pascal P (1962) *Nouveau Traie de chimie Minerale*, Vol. V, Masson, Paris
17. Saravanan VS (1999) Studies on the biodissolution of zinc in soybean. M.Sc. (Ag.), Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. p 111
18. Saravanan VS (2004) Studies on the investigation on solubilization of zinc and certain other insoluble compounds by *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. PhD, Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. p 128–130
19. Saravanan VS, Subramanian R and Raj A (2003) Assessing in vitro solubilization potential of different zinc solubilizing bacterial (zsb) isolates. *Brazilian J Microbiology* 34:121–125
20. Sevilla, M, De Oliveira A, Baldani I and Kennedy C (1998) [Contributions of the bacterial endophyte *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* to sugarcane nutrition: a preliminary study. *Symbiosis* 25:181–191](#)
21. [Singh MV \(2001\) Evaluation of current micronutrient stocks in different agro ecological zones of India for sustainable crop production. *Fert News* 46:25–28](#)
22. Srivastava PC and Gupta UC (1996) Trace elements in crop production. Oxford and IBH publishers, New Delhi, p 356
23. [Subbiah BV and Asija CL \(1956\) A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in the soils. *Curr Sci* 25:259](#)
24. [Tilak KVBR, Ranganayaki N, PaL KK, De R, Sexena AK, Shekar Nautiyal Shilipimittal C, Tripathi AK and Johri BN \(2005\) Diversity of plant growth and soil health and supporting bacteria. *Curr Sci* 89:136–150](#)
25. Zyrin NG, Rerich WJ and Tikhomirov FA (1976) Forms of zinc compounds in soils and its supply to plants. *Agrokhi-miya (Rus.)* 5:124–127