[Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 88 \(2014\) 18](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2014.06.003)-[27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2014.06.003)

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pmpp

Biochemical defense responses of black pepper (Piper nigrum L.) lines to Phytophthora capsici

Vandana V.V. ^a, Suseela Bhai R. ^{a, *}, Shamina Azeez ^b

^a Indian Institute of Spices Research, Marikunnu P O, Kozhikode 673012, Kerala, India ^b Indian Institute of Horticulture Research, Bangaluru 560089, Karnataka, India

article info

Article history: Accepted 27 June 2014 Available online 29 July 2014

Keywords: Defense related enzymes Lignin Membrane conductance Orthodihydroxy phenol Phytophthora capsici Total phenols

ABSTRACT

A study on biochemical factors involved in black pepper defense response against Phytophthora capsici, was carried out in P. capsici susceptible (Sreekara) and resistant (04-P24, shows root resistance to the pathogen) black pepper lines. Seven important factors - change in membrane conductance, total phenols, orthodihydroxy (OD) phenols, lignin and defense related enzymes (peroxidase, b-1,3 glucanase and β -1,4 glucanase) – were studied under uninoculated and pathogen (*P. capsici*, isolate 06-04) inoculated condition to know the preformed and induced responses. The pathogen was inoculated (soil inoculation) and plants were observed for changes, at 24 h intervals for 10 days. On 8th day after inoculation symptoms started appearing on Sreekara and increased the severity till 10th day. Both root and stem samples were subjected for biochemical analysis. Of the factors analyzed, it was found that membrane conductance, OD phenol, lignin and peroxidase activity play significant role in root resistance to P. capsici in 04-P24. Light microscopy of the portion of root $-$ where pathogen found attached $-$ was also done. © 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Black pepper (Piper nigrum L.) is known as the 'King of spices', dominating 34% of the world spice trade in volume. The major diseases identified in this crop are Phytophthora foot rot ('quick wilt') and slow decline disease ('slow wilt'). Phytophthora capsici, the causal organism for foot rot disease, is one of the most serious threats to black pepper cultivation in India. Crop loss due to this disease has been identified as a major constraint in its production. Plants possess both preformed and inducible mechanisms to resist pathogen invasion. Pathogen must overcome the morphological barriers, secondary metabolites (phytoanticipins), and antimicrobial proteins to invade a plant. Increased cell permeability is associated with host response to the pathogen, and the magnitude of increase is greatest in hypersensitive host-pathogen combination.

Earlier workers have screened around one million seedlings and identified one progeny from a black pepper cultivar Perambramundi viz. IISR Shakti, as moderately resistant to Phytophthora infection [\[7\].](#page-9-0) The mechanism of resistance in this line was reported

E-mail addresses: [rsbhai@rediffmail.com,](mailto:rsbhai@rediffmail.com) suseela@spices.res.in (S.B. R.).

to be due to the early activation of defense enzyme viz. phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and induction of pathogenesis related (PR) proteins such as β -1,3, glucanase [\[42\].](#page-9-0) Recently another open pollinated (OP) progeny (04-P24) raised from IISR Shakti showed root resistance to P. capsici by all means of screening [\[7\].](#page-9-0) Even after repeated inoculations, the plant showed resistance to P. capsici root infection. An integrated disease management strategy incorporating resistant cultivars will be the ultimate solution to tackle the problem of crop loss due to Phyophthora infection. Hence identifying resistance sources to multiple infections becomes imperative as an effective and long term disease management strategy. So far, a detailed study on Phytophthora resistance (biochemical factors) of black pepper has not been conducted. So the present study is aimed to elucidate the biochemical mechanisms of resistance in OP progeny 04-P24 having root resistance in comparison with a highly susceptible variety Sreekara. In black pepper, some preliminary studies were already carried out by different researchers on biochemical defense parameters against Phytophthora. Since 04- P24 is an OP progeny of IISR Shakti and in this variety, the role of membrane conductance, total phenols and defense related enzymes (beta-1,3-glucanase and peroxidase) had already been studied, these parameters were included in our study also. The role of OD phenols and lignin were not done so far in 04-P24. In many plants, these two factors play definite role in host plant defense against different pathogens. OD phenols are resistant factors

^{*} Corresponding author. Division of Crop Protection, Indian Institute of Spices Research, Marikunnu P O, Kozhikode 673012, Kerala, India. Tel.: +91 0495 2731410, +91 0949 5611915 (mobile); fax: +91 0495 2731187.

because they become highly reactive upon oxidation by polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase to the corresponding quinines, which are toxic to the pathogen or which inactivate enzymes including hydrolytic enzymes produced by plant pathogenic fungi [\[10,33\].](#page-9-0) Similarly the cell wall of Phytophthora is formed of cellulose, an attempt was also made to study the possible role of beta-1,4 glucanase in defense against this pathogen. So the defense related biochemical parameters viz. change in membrane conductivity, total phenols, orthodihydroxy (OD) phenols, lignin and enzymes like peroxidase, β -1,3 and β -1,4 glucanases during *P. capsici*black pepper interactions are examined to find out possible correlation with disease resistance.

Materials and methods

Plant material and pathogen inoculation

Plant material

P. capsici susceptible (Sreekara) and resistant (04-P24, OP progeny of IISR Shakti) lines of black pepper (P. nigrum L.) were used in this study. The plants were multiplied using serpentine propagation method. Single node cuttings were grown and maintained in sterile porting mixture (soil: sand: cow dung, 2:2:1) in polythene bags of size 20×10 cm under green house conditions and maintained. Plants of $4-5$ leaf stage were selected for conducting the experiment.

Pathogen

The P. capsici isolate 06-04 maintained in National Repository of Phytophthora, IISR, Kozhikode was used for inoculation. The isolate was sub-cultured and maintained in carrot agar (CA) medium.

Pathogen inoculation

P. capsici was grown on CA for 72 h at 24 ± 1 °C. Inoculum plugs of 5 mm size were cut from the periphery of the actively growing culture, and were kept for sporulation under continuous light for 48 h at 24 \pm 1 °C. The sporulated discs were used for plant inoculation. Uninoculated plants served as control. Three replications were maintained for all the treatments.

Sampling

From the inoculated plants, root and stem samples were drawn from 1 to 10 days after inoculation (DAI) at 24 h interval and subjected to biochemical analysis. For each sampling, plants were uprooted and observed for symptom development (root infection) and documented. Analysis was carried out in triplicates on triplicate samples. Uninoculated plants served as control.

Biochemical analysis

Determination of change in membrane conductivity

For determining the change in membrane conductivity, 500 mg of the sample (~1 cm long pieces) was weighed, suspended in 25 ml de-ionized water and kept at room temperature overnight. Sample was removed and the conductivity measured using conductivity meter (EUTECH Instruments cyberscan con 11). Values were recorded in micro Siemens (μ S) at 20 °C.

Extraction and estimation of phenols

Total phenols were extracted according to the method described by Ref. [\[12\]](#page-9-0); with some modifications. 200 mg of tissue was extracted twice with 1.5 ml of 80% methanol at room temperature for 1 h with constant shaking and the extracts were filtered using Whatman no. 1 filter paper.

Total phenols, were estimated using Folin-Ciocalteau method described by Gutfinger, (1981) with some modifications. 0.5 ml Folin-Ciocalteau reagent was added to 0.2 ml of sample; after 3 min, 1 ml of saturated Na_2CO_3 solution was added and diluted to 10 ml with water. After 1 h, absorbance was read at 725 nm in a spectrophotometer and quantified against a standard of gallic acid. The phenolic content was reported as gallic acid equivalents based on a calibration curve.

OD phenols were estimated using the method described by Ref. [\[15\]](#page-9-0). To 0.2 ml sample, 1 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and 2 ml of 5% Na2MoO4.2H2O solution were added. The contents were mixed and incubated for 15 min; the absorbance was measured at 350 nm in a spectrophotometer and quantified against a standard of caffeic acid. The OD phenolic content was reported as caffeic acid equivalents based on a calibration curve.

Determination of acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) content

The root and stem samples were washed dried, powdered and used for lignin estimation. Lignin was determined by acetyl bromide procedure of $[17]$. 10-15 mg dried powdered samples were weighed into a brown vial and 2 ml of acetyl bromide in glacial acetic acid (1:3 v/v containing perchloric acid (70%, 0.08 ml)) was added. The mixture was incubated at 70 \degree C for 30 min and the digested samples were transferred, with the aid of acetic acid, to 50 ml volumetric flasks containing 5 ml of 2 M sodium hydroxide and 12 ml of acetic acid. The flasks were made to the mark with acetic acid. Absorbance was measured at 280 nm and lignin content was determined using SAC (Specific Absorption Coefficient) of lignin, 20 g⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Extraction and enzyme assays

Peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7)

Peroxidase activity was determined according to [\[1\].](#page-8-0) Samples (0.25 g) were extracted in 5 ml chilled 25 mM Borate: HCl buffer (pH 8.8) by grinding at 4 \degree C. The extract was centrifuged at 4 \degree C and the supernatant was used for the enzyme assay. Reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 ml enzyme extract, 3 ml of the substrate -0.5 M pyrogallol in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6) and 0.5 ml of 1% H_2O_2 . Activity was determined by measuring absorbance at 20 s interval for a period of 3 min at 420 nm in a spectrophotometer, and compared against heat inactivated controls. The enzyme activity was expressed in units of change in OD min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein.

β -1,3-glucanase (E.C.3.2.1.6)

Beta-1,3-glucanase activity was assayed colorimetrically using the Nelson-Somogyi method $[40]$ with slight modifications. Samples (0.5 g) were extracted with 5 ml of 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) at 4 \degree C. The extract was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 \degree C and the supernatant served as the crude enzyme extract for the enzyme assay. The reaction mixture consisted of 0.075 ml enzyme extract and 0.075 ml substrate, 4% laminarin. The reaction was set up by incubating at 40 \degree C for 10 min and then stopped by the addition of alkaline copper reagent and boiling for 10 min on a water bath. $β-1,3-glucanase$ activity was determined by measuring absorbance at 620 nm in a spectrophotometer, and compared against heat inactivated controls, and glucose standards. The enzyme activity was expressed in units of mg glucose released min^{-1} mg⁻¹ protein.

β -1,4-glucanase (E.C.3.2.1.4)

Beta-1,4-glucanase (E.C.3.2.1.4) activity was assayed colorimetrically using the Nelson-Somogyi method $[40]$ with slight modifications. Samples (0.5 g) were extracted with 5 ml of 0.1 M sodium

Fig. 1. Progress of P. capsici infection in Sreekara (susceptible) from 1st to 10th days after inoculation.

citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at 4 \degree C. The extract was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 \degree C and the supernatant served as the crude enzyme extract for the enzyme assay. The reaction mixture consisted of 0.2 ml enzyme extract and 0.5 ml substrate, 1% carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC). The reaction was set up by incubating at 55 \degree C for 15 min and then stopped by the addition of alkaline copper reagent and boiling for 10 min on a water bath. Enzyme activity was determined by measuring absorbance at 620 nm and compared against heat inactivated controls, and glucose standards. The enzyme activity was expressed in units of mg glucose released $min^{-1} mg^{-1}$ protein.

Light microscopy

Histological study to visualize the presence of pathogen inside the tissue was conducted on roots of P. capsici inoculated plants. Fresh hand cut sections (transverse sections) of root portion $$ where pathogen found attached $-$ were made, of which thin, uniform sections were selected and stained in lactophenol cotton blue (fungal specific stain) to see the presence of P. capsici hyphae and sporangia. Experiment was conducted in triplicates. The stained preparations were observed under bright field microscope (Nikon - Eclipse Ci-L) and photographs were taken.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed for significant differences by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the statistical package SAS software (Version 9.3) and subjected to mean separation by the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test, $p < 0.05$.

Results

Plants were inoculated with P. capsici and samples were drawn from 1 to 10 days after inoculation (DAI). On each time sampling plants were uprooted and observed for symptom development. It was observed that up to seven days there were no signs of foot rot symptom development (root infection) on any of the inoculated plants, suggesting a period of 7 days for the establishment of P. capsici. The symptom appeared on the 8th day on Sreekara, where roots started showing rot symptoms and the severity of the rot (lesion) increased gradually (Fig. 1). But 04-P24 didn't develop any signs of infection even after 10th day of inoculation ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)).

Membrane conductivity

Root

Under uninoculated condition, membrane conductance of both susceptible and resistant lines was at par. After P. capsici inoculation, in resistant line increased membrane conductance was observed from 4th day onwards but in susceptible line, a significant increase was observed from 7th day onwards and remained high till 10th day in both lines ([Fig. 3](#page-3-0)a). Highest increase was seen on 7th day in susceptible line (~1.6 fold) and on 10th day in resistant line $(-1.6 \text{ fold}).$

Stem

Membrane conductance of stem remained at par in both lines under uninoculated condition. After pathogen inoculation, in both lines, stem conductivity showed a sharp shoot up at the 2 DAI, the increase being around 1.9 and 2 folds in susceptible and resistant

Fig. 2. Progress of P. capsici infection in 04-P24 (resistant) from 1st to 10th days after inoculation.

Fig. 3. Variation in conductivity in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for interaction $-$ treatment \times days after inoculation $-$ are 7.93 in both root and stem.

lines respectively and there after a sudden decrease was noticed in both the cases (Fig. 3b).

Total phenols

Root

Total phenols of uninoculated resistant plants were around 1.3 fold high as compared to susceptible plants. Upon challenge inoculation, a significant increase in total phenols was seen in susceptible line, while in resistant line, except on second day, higher content of total phenols observed compared to uninoculated plants. The greatest increase, around 3.5 fold, was obtained on 3rd day in susceptible line and around 2.2 fold, in resistant line on 6th day ([Fig. 4a](#page-4-0)).

Stem

Uninoculated resistant line showed approximately 1.5 fold higher total phenols as compared to their susceptible counterparts. At post inoculation stage, a significant increase was observed in susceptible line till 9th day, the highest increase was observed on 3rd day (~2.6 fold). In resistant line, significantly high phenol content was obtained from 3rd day onwards and the highest increase of around 1.9 fold was obtained on 9th day ([Fig. 4](#page-4-0)b).

Orthodihydroxy phenols

Root

OD phenols remained at par in both lines before pathogen inoculation. After inoculation, a significant increase of OD phenols

Fig. 4. Total phenol content in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for interaction $-$ treatment \times days after inoculation $-$ are 0.051 (a) and 0.022 (b).

was seen from 3rd day in resistant line compared to the uninoculated plants. In resistant line, highest increase in OD phenol quantity was on 5th day (\sim 2.8 fold) and it was on 4th day (\sim 2 fold) in susceptible line (Fig. 5a).

Fig. 5. OD phenol content in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for interaction $-$ treatment \times days after inoculation $-$ are 0.051 (a) and 0.022 (b).

Fig. 6. Lignin content (ABSL) in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for interaction $-$ treatment \times days after inoculation $-$ are 2.906 (a) and 1.665 (b).

Stem

In both lines, under uninoculated condition, there was no significant difference in OD phenol content in both lines. After the pathogen inoculation, the resistant line showed similar trend as seen in inoculated roots. The highest induction of around 1.8 and 2.1 folds were obtained in susceptible line (2nd day) and resistant line (4th day) respectively (Fig 5b).

Lignin (ABSL) content

Root

There was high lignin content in uninoculated resistant line (around 1.2 fold) compared to uninoculated susceptible plants. In inoculated plants of both lines, a significant increase was noticed from 1st day onwards as compared to their uninoculated plants. The highest increase was around 1.4 fold in susceptible line and 1.3 fold in resistant line at 5 and 3 DAI respectively (Fig. 6a).

Stem

The lignin content of resistant plants was significantly high (-1.1) fold) in relation to susceptible line under uninoculated state. After P. capsici inoculation, a significant rise was observed in susceptible line but in resistant line only at 2, 3 and 9 DAI, a noticeable change observed. In both lines greatest increase was obtained on 9th day $(-1.13$ and 1.05 folds respectively) (Fig. 6b).

Defense related enzymes

Peroxidase

Root. Under uninoculated and inoculated condition, resistant line exhibited increased enzyme activity (~1.2 and 1.3 folds respectively). In both lines, inoculated plants showed significantly greater peroxidase activity as compared to their uninoculated control plants. In susceptible line, from 2nd day onwards and in resistant line, from 1st day onwards significant rise in enzyme activity was observed and remained high throughout the study. Highest activity was recorded on 10th day in susceptible line and on 9th day in resistant line $-$ approximately 2.8 and 2.5 folds respectively (Fig. 7a).

Stem. In uninoculated plants, peroxidase activity remained at par in susceptible and resistant lines. After inoculation, a significant increase could be observed in both lines (from 4th day onwards in susceptible line and from 1st day onwards in resistant line) compared to their uninoculated plants. Susceptible line recorded highest activity on 10th day and the resistant line on 6th day (~2.1) and 3.1 folds respectively) (Fig. 7b).

Beta-1,3-glucanase

Root. Before pathogen inoculation, roots from both susceptible and resistant lines had showed similar enzyme activity. After inoculation, a significantly higher activity of β -1,3-glucanase was noticed in susceptible line from 2nd to 10th day. While in resistant line, except at 1 and 3 DAI, inoculated roots showed increased enzyme activity during the progress of infection. The greatest increase was on 2nd day (~1.7 fold) in susceptible line whereas in resistant line, it was on 7th day (~1.5 fold) (Fig. 8a).

Stem. Among the black pepper lines, resistant line showed approximately 1.2 fold enhanced enzyme activity under uninoculated condition. Significant increase in enzyme activity was observed in inoculated plants of both lines from 2nd day and remained high throughout the study. Susceptible line showed greatest activity on 6th day and the resistant line, on 4th day $$ around 1.7 and 1.4 folds respectively (Fig. 8b).

Fig. 7. Time-course activity of peroxidase in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for interaction $-$ treatment \times days after inoculation $-$ are 0.022 in both root and stem.

Fig. 8. Time-course activity of β -1,3-glucanase in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD $(p < 0.05)$ for interaction – treatment \times days after inoculation – are 0.255 (a) and 0.202 (b).

β -1,4-glucanase

Root. In both lines, β -1,4-glucanase activity were at par before P. capsici inoculation. After inoculation, on 1st day itself significant, enhanced enzyme activity was shown by both lines. Except on 5th day in susceptible line and 2nd day in resistant line, significant enzyme activity was observed in inoculated root as compared to their uninoculated counterparts. The highest activity was recorded on 1st day in susceptible line (~3.8 fold) and on 10th day in resistant line (~3.4 fold) ([Fig. 9a](#page-6-0)).

Stem. Among the black pepper lines, significant difference could not be observed in enzyme activity under uninoculated condition. Upon pathogen inoculation, enzyme activity increased in both lines, being the highest activity on 1st day in susceptible line (~3.6 fold) and on 8th day in resistant line (~3.2 fold) ([Fig. 9b](#page-6-0)).

Light microscopy

Stained sections of infected portions of root of susceptible black pepper line showed blue color stained hyphae and sporangia of P. capsici demonstrating the tissue penetration by the pathogen (Fig. $10a-d$). In the transverse sections of root of resistant line, the hyphae and sporangia were visualized only on the surface of the section but could not be spotted in the tissue inside (Fig. $10e-h$). This result indicates that in both lines the pathogen attaches to the root surface and induces defense responses in the host plant. In the resistant line, the pathogen is restricted to the root surface and is not penetrating the healthy tissue below. But in the susceptible line, the hyphae penetrate the root tissues and damage them causing disease.

Fig. 9. Time-course activity of β -1.4-glucanase in root (a) and stem (b) of uninoculated and P. capsici inoculated black pepper plants (P. capsici susceptible Sreekara and resistant 04-P24) at regular time intervals. (Control: uninoculated plants, and 1 to 10 DAI: inoculated plants from 1st to 10th day after inoculation). LSD ($p < 0.05$) for $\text{interaction} - \text{treatment} \times \text{days}$ after inoculation $-$ are 0.081 (a) and 0.065 (b).

Correlation analysis ($p < 0.05$)

A highly positive correlation between peroxidase and lignin (0.821), conductivity and β -1,3-glucanase (0.818) and OD phenols and lignin (0.801) were obtained in roots from resistant line. The corresponding values in roots of susceptible line were 0.524, 0.582 and 0.665 respectively [\(Table 1](#page-7-0)). In stem, correlations of 0.847 and 0.824 were found between β -1,3 glucanase and peroxidase, and total phenol and OD phenol respectively in resistant line, but in susceptible line, a highly positive correlation was seen between β -1,3 glucanase and lignin (0.835) and β -1,3 glucanase and peroxidase (0.823) [\(Table 2](#page-8-0)).

Discussion

The analysis of black pepper plants infected by P. capsici has revealed changes in the host cell membrane conductivity (measure of membrane permeability), in enzyme activities and in metabolite concentrations within the infected host tissues. However, due to the intricate nature of host-pathogen interactions, it is difficult to delineate the individual change caused by the plant or pathogen. In this study, an attempt was made systematically to analyze changes in some of key plant biochemical parameters in black pepper infected with P. capsici during the various stages of the disease progress and to correlate these changes.

Here, different biochemical parameters associated with plant defense response against biotic stress were analyzed in black pepper $-$ P. capsici association in Phytophthora susceptible and resistant black pepper lines viz. Sreekara and 04-P24. A comparative study was carried out to reveal the difference in these biochemical factors in both the lines under uninoculated and inoculated conditions. Under uninoculated conditions, a significantly higher content of total phenols (1.3 fold increase), lignin (1.2 fold increase) and peroxidase activity (1.2 fold increase) was observed in the roots of resistant line as compared to those of susceptible line. In stem, total phenols, lignin, β-1,3-glucanase and β -1,4-glucanase activity were significantly high (1.5, 1.1, 1.2 and 1.1 folds increase respectively) in uninoculated 04-P24. After challenge inoculation with P. capsici,1.1 fold increase in membrane conductivity, 1.4 fold increase in OD phenols, 1.2 fold increase in lignin and 1.3 fold increase in peroxidase activity was recorded in 04-P24 over Sreekara root. The biochemical factors which showed significantly high activity in 04-P24 stem under inoculated condition include total phenol (1.4 fold increase), OD phenol (1.8 fold increase), and peroxidase (1.4 fold increase).

Membrane conductivity

The cell membrane damage of host tissue by pathogen intrusion and subsequent tissue softening has been suggested by Ref. [\[20\].](#page-9-0) Cell permeability is associated with the host response to the pathogen, and the magnitude of increase is greatest in hypersensitive host-pathogen combination. In our study, the degree of membrane integrity was assessed by the leakage of electrolytes. The mechanical wounding caused by pathogen and efflux of electrolytes in black pepper root was maximal at 5th DAI in resistant line and on 7th DAI in susceptible line under inoculated conditions ([Fig. 3](#page-3-0)). In black pepper $-$ P. capcisi interaction, changes in membrane conductivity were associated with infection progress [\[38\]](#page-9-0). In this study, the overall results showed significantly high membrane conductivity in roots of resistant line during earlier period of infection compared to the roots of susceptible line under inoculated condition ([Fig. 3](#page-3-0)a). The possible explanation for this is the HR reaction at the site of pathogen entry. Sometimes HR reaction becomes unnoticeable due to involvement of single or very few cells [\[2\]](#page-8-0). That might be the reason for not developing visible lesion in roots of resistant line. The result of light microscopy is in agreement with this finding ([Fig. 10\)](#page-7-0).

Total phenols and OD phenols

In this study, the total phenols were considerably low in roots of resistant line during early days of infection when compared to inoculated susceptible plants [\(Fig. 4a](#page-4-0)). The explanation for this could be that the phenolics may act as substrates for the synthesis of compounds involved in disease resistance, like phytoalexins, hydroxycinnamic acids etc. [\[11\]](#page-9-0). But OD phenols in roots of resistant line remained high during the course of infection process, throughout the experiment [\(Fig. 5a](#page-4-0)). [\[27\];](#page-9-0) noticed high content of OD phenols in a Phytophthora tolerant genotype of black pepper, "Kalluvally", among all the black pepper varieties tested. The concentration of total phenols and OD phenols, showed significant changes due to infection in sorghum $-$ Drechslera sorghicola interaction [\[21\],](#page-9-0) in tea – Sclerotium rolfsii interaction [\[6\]](#page-9-0), in olive tree – Verticillium dahliae interaction [\[28\]](#page-9-0), in groundnut – S. rolfsii interaction $[37]$ and in rice – Cnaphalocrocis medinalis interaction [\[35\]](#page-9-0). OD phenols play considerable role in increased resistance against plant pathogens and commencement of defense mechanisms like hypersensitive reaction. These reports corroborate with the observations made in this paper.

Lignin

Lignification $-$ a structural defense mechanism $-$ plays an important role in hypersensitive response of plants to pathogens. Peroxidase is one of the important enzymes in lignification process as a terminal enzyme involved in the polymerization and synthesis of lignin. In our study, a highly positive correlation (0.821) was obtained between peroxidase activity and lignin content in

Fig. 10. Light microscopy images of transverse sections of roots of Sreekara (a—d) and 04-P24 (e—h) inoculated with *P. capsici* (sample drawn at 4 DAI). Figures a and e show the root surface colonized with pathogen (intact root). Figures b–d show the presence of P. capsici sporangia and hyphae inside the root tissue of Sreekara. In 04-P24, the hyphae and sporangia are found attached on the surface layer of tissue (f and g) and the inner tissue found devoid of them (h).

 $T₁$

b

Peroxidase 0.060 0.108 0.124 0.530 0.823 0.082 1.000

Peroxidase 0.282 0.695 0.649 0.571 0.847 0.413 1.000

resistant line after pathogen inoculation. Correlation between tissue lignifications and disease resistance has been proved by many researchers [\[32,44,45\]](#page-9-0). In our study, lignin content was found to be high in roots of both susceptible and resistant lines under P. capsici inoculated condition and resistant line had higher induction compared to susceptible line ([Fig. 6](#page-4-0)a). Similar results were reported in black pepper cell suspension culture upon elicitation with P. capsici elicitors [4].

OD phenol 0.084 0.824 1.000

Lignin 0.657 0.559 0.480 1.000

 β -1,3-glucanase 0.550 0.629 0.693 0.687 1.000
 β -1.4-glucanase 0.470 0.269 0.311 0.657 0.400

 β -1,4-glucanase 0.470 0.269 0.311 0.657 0.400 1.000
Peroxidase 0.282 0.695 0.649 0.571 0.847 0.413

Defense related enzymes

Conductivity 1.000
Total phenol 0.120

Total phenol 0.120 1.000

Plants respond to the presence of microbial pathogens by de novo synthesis of defense related enzymes. Cell wall peroxidase belongs to one of the important enzyme systems in reactive oxygen species (ROS) metabolism, generating $H₂O₂$ [\[9,13\]](#page-9-0) which in turn leads to the development of an antimicrobial environment within the apoplast [\[34\]](#page-9-0). The induction of peroxidase activity in response to pathogen infection has already been repeatedly reported in several plant species $[5,8,14,18,23,26,29-31,41]$ $[5,8,14,18,23,26,29-31,41]$ $[5,8,14,18,23,26,29-31,41]$. Enhancement of peroxidase activity in root of resistant black pepper line upon P. capsici infection herein is in agreement with that reported for resistant cultivars of muskmelon (Cucumis melo) upon infection with Pseudoperonospora cubensis [\[36\]](#page-9-0), in sugarcane upon Colletotrichum falcatum invasion $[43]$, in Cucumis sativus upon inoculation with cucumber downy mildew *P. cubensis* [\[24,25\]](#page-9-0), in green bean upon infection with Uromyces appendiculatus [\[39\]](#page-9-0), in taro upon inoculation with P. colocasia $[29]$, in black pepper upon inoculation with P. capsici [\[38\]](#page-9-0), in black pepper cell suspension culture upon inoculation with *P. capsici* elicitors $[4]$ and in apricot upon inoculation with Hendersonula toruloidea and Phiaoacremonium aleophillium $[3]$. In the present study, the induction of peroxidase activity in P . capsici – infected black pepper roots and stems of resistant and susceptible lines over their uninfected controls has been revealed [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-5-0).

The induction of β -1,3-glucanase enzymes occurs in different plant species in response to fungal attacks [\[16\].](#page-9-0) Beta-1,3-glucanase has been induced in resistant cv. of celery infected with Fusarium $oxygenum$ $[22]$ and chickpea upon infection with the fungal pathogen Ascochyta rabiei [\[16\]](#page-9-0). Since the enzyme β -1,3-glucanases has potential to hydrolyze fungal and oomycete cell wall β -1,3glucan, it has been reported to have a role in defense against invading fungal and oomycete pathogen [\[19\] \[38\].](#page-9-0) and [\[42\]](#page-9-0) observed higher β -1,3-glucanase activity in *Phytophthora* tolerant variety P24 (IISR Shakti). In this study, the results showed that β -1,3-glucanase significantly increased in P. capsici inoculated roots of susceptible and resistant lines over their control plants ([Fig. 8](#page-5-0)).

P. capsici has cellulose in its cell wall and so, the host plants might secrete β -1,4-glucanase to prevent this pathogen. We checked the changes in this enzyme activity in inoculated susceptible and resistant black pepper lines compared to the uninoculated plants and it was observed that β -1,4-glucanase activity varied in inoculated plants significantly. The increased enzyme may be either of plant or of pathogen origin. This has to be further confirmed in our future work. The results obtained in this study are significant in understanding the shifts in the enzyme properties with disease progression.

Hence the overall results from this study revealed that among the biochemical defense parameters, membrane conductivity, OD phenols, lignin and peroxidase play significant role in 04-P24 root resistance to P. capsici. All biochemical parameters analyzed here were found to be changing in stems of inoculated plants compared to uninoculated plants in both lines. This is an indication of systemic defense response in these lines against the pathogen. More research is required to establish these observations through anatomical and molecular investigations.

Contributions

- Vandana, V. V.: Research student.
- Dr. R. Suseela Bhai (Principal Scientist, Plant Pathology): Guide
- Dr. Shamina Azeez (Principal Scientist, Plant Biochemistry): Coguide

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment (KSCSTE) Council Order No. (T) 364 / FSHP /2010 / CSTE, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India. Authors are grateful to Director, IISR (ICAR), Kozhikode, Kerala, India for facilities provided.

References

- [1] [Addy SK, Goodman RN. Polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase activity in apple](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref1) [leaves inoculated with a virulent or an avirulent strain of Erwinia amylovora.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref1) Indian Phytopathol $1972:25:575-9$.
- [Agrios GN. Plant pathology. Elsevier academic press; 2005. p. 208](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref2)-[48](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref2).
- [3] [Al-Morad NYM. The role of cellulase and pectinase in apricot canker caused by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref3) [Hendersonula toruloidea and Phiaoacremonium aleophillium. J Agric Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref3) [Technol A 2013;3:146](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref3)-[50.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref3)
- [Anuradha Y. Improvement of black pepper \(Piper nigrum L.\) through induc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref4)[tion of stress tolerance in vitro; 2004. Ph. D. thesis submitted to University of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref4) [Calicut, Kerala, India](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref4).
- [5] [Baysal O, Soylu EM, Soylu S. Induction of defense-related enzyme and resis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref5)[tance by the plant activator acibenzolar-S-methyl in tomato seedlings against](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref5)

[bacterial canker caused by Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. michiganensis. Plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref5) Pathol $2003:52:747-53$ $2003:52:747-53$

[6] [Bhagat I, Chakraborty B. Defense response triggered by Sclerotium rolfsii in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref6) tea plants. Ecoprint $2010;17:69-76$ $2010;17:69-76$.

- [7] [Bhai RS, Eapen SJ, Anandaraj M, Saji KV. Identi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref7)fication of Phytophthora and [nematode-resistant source from open pollinated progenies of black pepper](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref7) (Piper nigrum) using a modifi[ed protocol. Indian J Agric Sci 2010;80:893](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref7)-[7.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref7)
- [8] [Bindschedler LV, Dewdney J, Blee KA, Stone JM, Asai T, Plotnikov J, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref8) [Peroxidase-dependent apoplastic oxidative burst in Arabidopsis required for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref8) pathogen resistance. Plant $\overline{1}$ 2006;4:851-[63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref8).
- [9] [Bolwell GP, Butt VS, Davies DR, Zimmerlin A. The origin of the oxidative burst](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref9) in plants. Free Radic Res $1995;23:517-32$.
- [10] [Byrde RJW. Natural inhibition of fungal enzymes and toxins in disease resis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref10)[tance. In: Rich S, editor. Perspectives of biochemical plant pathology, vol. 663.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref10) Connecticut Agr. Exper. Sta. Bull: 1963. p. $31-47$.
- [11] [Dixon RA, Lamb CJ. Molecular communication in interactions between plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref11) [and microbial pathogens. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 1990;41:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref11) $339 - 67$ $339 - 67$.
- [12] [Eynek C, Koopmann B, Karlovsky D, Tiedmann AV. Internal resistance in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref12) [winter oilseed rape inhibits systemic spread of the vascular pathogen Verti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref12)cillium longisporum. Phytopathology $2009;99(7):802-11$.
- [13] [Gross GG, Janse C, Elstner EF. Involvement of malate, monophenols, and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref13) [superoxide radical in hydrogen peroxide formation by isolated cell walls from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref13) horseradish (Armoracia lapathifolia Gilib). Planta $1997:136:271-6$.
- [14] [Guimil S, Chang H, Zhu T, Sesma A, Osbourn A, Roux C, et al. Comparative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref14) [transcriptomics of rice reveals an ancient pattern of response to microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref14) [colonization. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005;102:8066](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref14)-[70](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref14).
- [15] Gutfi[nger T. Polyphenols in olive oils. JAOCS; 1981. p. 966](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref15)-[8](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref15).
- [16] Hanselle T, Barz W. Purifi[cation and characterization of the extracellular PR-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref16)[2b beta-1,3-glucanase accumulating in different Ascochyta rabiei-infected](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref16) [chickpea \(Cicer arietinum L.\) cultivars. Plant Sci 2001;161\(4\):773](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref16)-[81](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref16).
- [17] [Iiyama K, Wallis AFA. Determination of lignin in herbaceous plants by an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref17) [improved acetyl bromide procedure. J Sci Food Agric 1990;51:145](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref17)-[61.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref17)
- [18] [Jakupovic M, Heintz M, Reichmann P, Mendgen K, Hahn M. Microarray](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref18) [analysis of expressed sequence tags from haustoria of the rust fungus Uro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref18)[myces fabae. Fungal Genet Biol 2006;43:8](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref18)-[19.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref18)
- [19] [Kang Z, Buchenouer H. Immunocytochemical localization of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref19) β -1,3-glucanase [and chitinase in Fusarium ulmorum infected wheat spikes. Physiol Mol Plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref19) Pathol 2002:60:141-[53](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref19).
- [20] [Karakurt Y, Huber DJ. Wound metabolism and wound signaling in fruits and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref20) [vegetables. Erc Univ Bilm Enstitüsü Dergisi 2008;24:401](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref20)–[12.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref20)
- [21] [Khan AJ, Deadman ML, Srikandakumar A, Al-Maqbali YM, Rizvi SG, Al-Sabahi J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref21) [Biochemical changes in sorghum leaves infected with leaf spot pathogen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref21) [Drechslera sorghicola. Plant Pathol J 2001;17:342](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref21)-[6.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref21)
- [22] [Krebs SL, Grumet R. Characterization of celery hydrolytic enzymes induced in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref22) [response to infection by Fusarium oxysporum. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref22) [1993;43:193](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref22)-[208.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref22)
- [23] [Kristensen BK, Bloch H, Rasmussen SK. Barley coleoptile peroxidases. Puri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref23)fi[cation, molecular cloning, and induction by pathogens. Plant Physiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref23) 1999:120:501-[12.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref23)
- [24] [Lebeda A, Dolezal K. Peroxidase isoenzyme polymorphism as a potential](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref24) marker for detection of fi[eld resistance in cucumis sativus to cucumber downy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref24) [mildew \(Pseudoperonospora cubensis/Berk.etcurt./Rostow\). J Plant Dist Prot](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref24) [1995;102:467](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref24)-[71.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref24)
- [25] [Lebeda A, Luhova L, Sedlarova M, Jancova D. The role of enzymes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref25) [plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref25)-[fungal pathogens interactions. J Plant Dis Prot 2001;108:89](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref25)-[111](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref25).
- [26] [Lee DH, Lee CB. Chilling stress induced changes of antioxidant enzymes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref26) [the leaves of cucumber: in gel enzyme activity assays. Plant Sci 2000;159:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref26) [75](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref26)-[85.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref26)
- [27] [Mammootty KP, Neema VP, Jayaraj P. Diseases of black pepper. In: Piperacea](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref27) [crops](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref27) $-$ [technologies and future perspectives. Calicut: IISR; 2008. p. 148](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref27)–[57](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref27).
- [28] [Markakis EA, Tjamos SE, Antoniou PP, Roussos PA, Paplomatas EJ, Tjamos EC.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref28) [Phenolic responses of resistant and susceptible olive cultivars induced by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref28) [defoliating and nondefoliating Verticillium dahliae pathotypes. Plant Dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref28) $2010:94:1156-62$
- [29] [Misra RS, Sharma K, Misra AK, Sriram S. Biochemical alterations induced in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref29) [taro in response to Phytophthora colocasiae infection. Adv Nat Appl Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref29) $2008:2(3):112-21.$ $2008:2(3):112-21.$
- [30] [Mlickova K, Luhova L, Lebeda A, Mieslerova B, Pec P. Reactive oxygen species](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref30) [generation and peroxidase activity during Oidium neolycopersici infection on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref30) Lycopersicon species. Plant Physiol Biochem $2004:42:753-61$ $2004:42:753-61$.
- [31] [Mohamed MA, Hasabo SA. Biochemical alterations induced by Meloidogyne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref31) incognita infection in cotton. Inter. I Nematol $2005:15:145-54$ $2005:15:145-54$.
- [32] [Naffusi B, Ben-Yehoshua S, Rodov V, Peretz J, Ozer BK, D](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref32)'hallewin G. Mode of [action of hot-water dip in reducing decay of lemon fruit. J Sci Food Chem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref32) [2001;49:107](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref32)-[13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref32)
- [33] [Patil SS, Dimond AE. Inhibition of Verticillium polygalacturonase by oxidation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref33) [products of polyphenols. Phytopathology 1967;57:492](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref33)-[6](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref33).
- [34] [Peng M, Kuc J. Peroxidase-generated hydrogen peroxide as a source of anti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref34)[fungal activity in vitro and on tobacco leaf discs. Phytopathology 1992;82:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref34) $696 - 9$ $696 - 9$
- [35] Punithavalli M, Muthukrishnan NM, Rajkumar MB. Defensive responses of rice genotypes for resistance against rice leaf folder Cnaphalocrocis medinalis. Rice Sci 2013;20(5). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1672-6308\(13\)60149-3.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1672-6308(13)60149-3)
- [36] [Reuveni R, Shimoni M, Karchi Z, Kuc I. Peroxidase activity as a biochemical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref36) [marker for resistance of muskmelon \(Cucumis melo\) to Pseudoperonospora](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref36) cubensis. Phytopathology $1992;82;749-53$.
- [37] [Saraswathi M, Reddy MN. Defense response triggered by Sclerotium rolfsii in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref37) [groundnut \(Arachis hypogaea L.\) plants. Int J Cur Res Rev 2012;04\(21\):23](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref37)-[30](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref37).
- [38] [Shamina A. Biochemical studies on host-pathogen interactions in black pep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref38)[per \(Piper nigrum L.\) infected with Phytophthora capsici; 1997. Ph. D. Thesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref38) [submitted to University of Calicut, Kerala, India](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref38).
- [39] [Siegrist L, Glenewinkel D, Kolle C, Schidtke M. Chemically induced resistance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref39) [in green bean against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Zeit P](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref39)fl Pflanzen 1997:104:599-[610.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref39)
- [40] [Somogyi M. J Biol Chem 1952;200:245](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref40).
- [41] [Song F, Goodman RM. Molecular biology of disease resistance in rice. Physiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref41) [Mol Plant Pathol 2001;59:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref41)-[11.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref41)
- [42] [Stephen RJ, Anandaraj M, Sarma YR. Induction of PR proteins and defense](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref42) [related enzymes in black pepper due to inoculation with Phytophthora cap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref42)sici. Ind Phytopathol $2001;54:23-8$ $2001;54:23-8$.
- [43] [Sundar AR, Viswanathan R, Mohanraj D, Padmanaban P. Role of oxidative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref43) [enzymes in sugarcane and Colletotrichum falcatum went interaction. Acta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref43) [Phytopathol Hung 1998;33:297](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref43)-[304.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref43)
- [44] [Valentines MC, Vilaplana R, Torres R, Usall J, Larrigaudiere C. Speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref44)fic roles of enzymatic browning and lignifi[cations in apple disease resistance. Postharvest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref44) [Biol Technol 2005;36:227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref44)-[34.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref44)
- [45] [Xu L, Zhu L, Tu L, Liu L, Yuan D, Jin L, et al. Lignin metabolism has a central role](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref45) [in the resistance of cotton to the wilt fungus Verticillium dahliae as revealed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref45) [by RNA-Seq-dependent transcriptional analysis and histochemistry. J Exp Bot](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0885-5765(14)00047-2/sref45) $2011:1-15$ $2011:1-15$.