



Research Paper

**DISTRIBUTION, DIVERSITY AND ANTAGONISTIC ABILITY OF
ACTINOBACTERIA FROM BLACK PEPPER (*Piper nigrum* L.)
RHIZOSPHERE**

Anusree, T. and R. Suseela Bhai

ICAR-Indian Institute of Spices Research,
Marikunnu P O, Kozhikode-673 012, Kerala,
India.

Abstract

A survey was undertaken in the major black pepper growing belts of Kerala and Karnataka for studying the distribution and diversity of actinobacteria in the rhizosphere of black pepper. A total of 129 morphologically different actinobacteria were isolated from 123 samples collected from different agro climatic niche. The 129 actinobacteria were morphologically characterized on the basis of the colour of aerial mycelia, substrate mycelia, spore chain morphology and production of diffusible pigments. The isolates were also tested for their antagonistic ability against major pathogens of black pepper. Morphologically the isolates fall into 7 groups in which majority were found to be in the genus *Streptomyces* (100). Other groups are *Actinoplanes* (10), *Micromonospora* (10), *Nocardiopsis* (2), *Actinomyces* (3), *Actinopolyspora* (1), and *Dactylosporium* (1). Functionally, more than 50% of the isolates showed 51-94% inhibition against the major pathogens.

Key words: Actinobacteria, Antagonism, Black pepper, Diversity, *P. capsici*, Rhizosphere, Spore chain morphology.

INTRODUCTION

Actinobacteria, the well known source of antimicrobial secondary metabolites, are Gram- positive filamentous free living saprophytic bacteria. They are widely distributed in soil and also colonizing plants. Although the genus *Streptomyces* are the most successfully exploited source for secondary metabolites, other genera of Actinobacteria like *Saccharopolyspora*, *Amycolatopsis*, *Micromonospora* and *Actinoplanes* are also major producers of commercially important biomolecules (Solanki *et al.*, 2008). These actinobacterial secondary metabolites have wide range of biological functions which include antibacterial (streptomycin), antifungal (nystatin), antiviral (tunicamycin), antiparasitic (ivermectin), immunosuppressive (rapamycin), antitumor (actinomycin), enzyme inhibitory (clavulanic acid) and diabetogenic (bafilomycin, streptozotocin) activities. Various approaches are continuing to explore new and particular ecosystem

for the actinobacterial diversity to open an entry to the discovery of unknown biomolecules (Park *et al.*, 2014).

In the diverse rhizosphere microbial community, actinobacteria represents a large part. (Strobel *et al.*, 2004), which contributes a major role in the turnover of recalcitrant plant organic matter producing a steadiness in the ecosystem (Miyadoh, 1997). According to Kimura and Asakawa (2006), most of the actinobacteria are isolated from soil, rhizosphere region and rice fields. The interactions such as nitrogen fixation, plant growth hormone production and protection of plants against infection by rhizosphere actinobacteria have been demonstrated by Crawford *et al* (1993). The production of lytic enzymes by the actinobacteria make the survival of plants easier by degrading diverse substrates occurring in plant litter and soil, which has the ability to inhibit the growth of phytopathogens (Priyadharsini *et al.*, 2015). The derivative power of actinobacteria is also well known for carbon cycle and humus formation in the environment which ultimately improve the soil nutrition for plant growth. Above all these, actinobacteria especially *Streptomyces* are inexhaustible producers of secondary metabolites, used as biocontrol agents to control soil-borne and seed borne diseases of plants. According to Burkholder *et al* 1954, the microscopic characterization based on aerial and substrate mycelium and spore chain morphology are very useful in identification of actinobacteria. The sporophore morphology was found to be most constant and clearly mentioned feature used for actinobacterial classification. The method followed by International Streptomyces Projects (ISP) was mainly used for the actinobacterial characterization (Shrilling and Gottlieb 1966). The characteristic features of actinobacteria such as colour of aerial spore mass, reverse side colour, diffusible pigment production, production of melanoid pigments are also have been played an important role.

In the present study, it is aimed to evaluate the genus diversity of actinobacteria in rhizosphere of black pepper in the major cultivated areas of Southern states of India viz., Kerala and Karnataka and to discover their antagonistic activity against major pathogens of black pepper viz., *Phytophthora capsici*, *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Collectotrichum gloeosporioides*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey and Sample collection:

Survey for the collection of rhizosphere soil sample from black pepper was undertaken in the major black pepper growing tracts of Kerala and Karnataka during the monsoon period (May-September) of 2012 and 2013. The locations covered include Kasaragod, Kannur, Kozhikode, Wayanad, Thrissur, Ernakulam, Kottayam, Idukki and Malappuram districts in Kerala and Coorg district in Karnataka. During the survey, rhizosphere soil samples were collected from healthy black pepper vines encompassing different ecological niche where black pepper is extensively cultivated. Sampling was done district wise and tried to cover all the taluk of each district.

Sampling was done as per Yanai *et al.* 2003. The soil adhered to the roots of black pepper was collected by removing the layer of top soil and subsequent brushing of rhizosphere soil (1-5mm from the root surface since soil farther than 1cm from the root was considered as non rhizosphere soil) since the soil texture and actual soil moisture strongly influence the amount of soil adhering to the root system. The soil samples were

collected in polythene bags, tied and labelled, and brought to laboratory and stored at 4°C for further studies.

Isolation of Actinobacteria

Isolation was done as per the procedure of Matsukawa *et al.*, 2007 and Hong *et al.*, 2009. Different physical pretreatments were done to facilitate the isolation of actinobacteria by eliminating unwanted fungal and bacterial populations. Drying and heating pretreatment of soil given in the procedure enhances the chances of getting actinobacterial colony while eliminating most unwanted gram negative bacteria (Hong *et al.* 2009; Kavitha *et al.*, 2010, and Matsukawa *et al.*, 2007). The soil samples were dried for one week and sieved through 2mm pore sieve. One gram of soil was diluted to 10⁻² dilution using sterile water and incubated in an orbital shaker at 28°C at 170rpm for 30 min and further incubated at 50°C in a water bath for 15-20 min to reduce the bacterial community. Serial dilutions of the soil were made up to 10⁻⁷ and 1 ml of 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁷ dilutions were plated in selective media *viz.*, Actinomycetes Isolation Agar (Himedia) by pour plate method. There were three replications for each dilution. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 8–15 days and typical colonies of actinobacteria were isolated on the basis of traditional morphological criteria. The actinobacterial colonies were hard to touch and leathery in nature compared to the bacterial or fungal colonies. The individual colonies were then purified by sub culturing on ISP-2 (International Streptomyces Project-2) medium.

Morphological characterization

Purified colonies of actinobacterial isolates were grown in ISP2 medium (Shirling and Gottlieb, 1966) at 28°C for 7 days. Colony morphology such as appearance, colour of areal hyphae, growth of vegetative hyphae, diffusible pigment production etc were observed and documented. The colour of spore masses and diffusible pigment production were visually estimated with the help of RHS-colour code (RHS colour chart, Fifth edition-Royal Horticultural Society).

Microscopic characterization

Spore chain morphology was studied using modified cover slip culture method (Hopwood, 1960 modified). The isolates were inoculated on PDA agar block and then a sterile cover slip was placed over it and incubated at 28°C for 3 days. The mycelium grown on the cover slip was stained with crystal violet. Spore chain morphology was observed under light microscopy (100X magnification) using Leica DM5000B Research microscope.

In vitro testing for antagonism

The 129 isolates of morphologically different actinobacteria were assayed for their antagonistic /inhibitory activity against major pathogens of black pepper *viz.*, *P. capsici*, *S. rolfii* and *C.gloeosporioides* by dual culture method (Khamna *et al.*, 2009), with some modifications. Single colonies of actinobacteria were streaked on both sides of the Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) plate at a distance of 3.5 cm away from the each other. After sufficient growth attained by the bacterial colony, 5 mm mycelial plugs taken from the growing edge of 72 h old culture of the target pathogen was inoculated at the centre of the plate in between the actinobacterial streaking and incubated at 26°C for 4–5 days. The experiment was repeated three times for conformation and three independent replicates were used. Plates inoculated with pathogens alone served as controls. The

percentage of inhibition was calculated by measuring the radial growth of the pathogen using the formula.

$$I = C - T / C \times 100$$

Where I = % inhibition, C = radial growth (mm) of the pathogen in control and T = radial growth of the pathogen in treatment.

Statistical Analysis

The data was statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the statistical package SAS software (Version 9.3) and subjected to mean separation by Least Significant Difference (LSD) test, $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Survey and Sample collection

The samples were collected during the monsoon season (May-September) of 2012 and 2013. A total of 123 soil samples were collected from different ecological niche where black pepper is cultivated in a large and marginal scale (Table 1). The samples were collected from 9 districts of Kerala which includes 24 samples from Wayanad, 21 samples each from Idukki and Kozhikode, 17 samples from Ernakulam, 8 samples from Kannur, 7 samples from Kottayam, 6 samples from Thrissur, 4 samples from Kasaragod, and 2 samples from Malappuram. Thirteen samples were collected from Coorg district of Karnataka which is major black pepper growing belt of India.

Isolation of actinobacteria from the collected soil samples

A total of 129 actinobacteria with different morphological characters were isolated from the collected soil samples (Table 1). Maximum isolates (49) were obtained from nine locations of Ernakulam district which include 17 from Kunnathunad, five each from Keezhillam Meempara, and Ramamangalam, 3 each from Thiruvaniyoor and Mannur, 4 from Mulanthuruthy, six from Maneed, and 1 from Amballoor. This is followed by Wayanad (23). From other places, the number of isolates obtained ranged from 4 to 18. All the isolates were maintained on ISP2 medium.

The isolates were nomenclatured by giving the prefix IISRBPA where IISR stands for the parent institute (Indian Institute of Spices Research) and BP for black pepper. The isolates were serially numbered as IISRBPA1, IISRBPA2, and IISRBPA3 likewise. The isolates were stored in PDA slant at 4°C and in 20% glycerol at -20°C for further use. The PDA slants were used as working culture while glycerol stock is used for long term preservation.

Morphological characterization of collected actinobacteria

All the 129 actinobacterial isolates were morphologically characterized by growing on ISP2 medium (Table 2&3). For the *Streptomyces* sp., the colour of aerial mycelium varied from Greyed-white, white or grey-brown. The *Micromonospora* sp. exhibited aerial mycelial mass and colour ranges from Greyed-white to Greyed-brown while substrate mycelium was Greyed- yellow and brown/ black. In *Actinoplanes* sp. the aerial mycelia was Greyed-white to Greyed- brown with Greyed-yellow to brown substrate mycelium. *Dactylosporangium* sp. was grey-brown to grey-white whereas *Actinopolyspora* sp was Greyed-white to Greyed-yellow. *Actinomyces* colonies were found in Greyed-white to brown in colour while *Nocardiopsis* is Greyed- white in colour. Only limited growth of aerial mycelium was observed in five isolates (IISRBPA82,

IISRBPA62, IISRBPA75, IISRBPA93 and IISRBPA43). The colour of substrate mycelium was observed to be Greyed-white to brown or black. Five isolates showed diffusible pigment production (Greyed-yellow, Greyed-purple and brown) in the media. Colony morphology of selected *Streptomyces* and non-*Streptomyces* actinobacteria isolated from rhizosphere region of black pepper is given Fig 2 and 3

Microscopic characterization of isolates actinobacteria

The 129 isolates of actinobacteria were microscopically characterized as belonged to the groups *Streptomyces*, *Actinoplanes*, *Micromonospora*, *Actinomyces*, *Nocardiopsis*, and *Actinopolyspora* (Table 2&3). Out of 129 actinobacterial isolates, 100 isolates were identified in the genus *Streptomyces* (spore chain with coiling, spiral and looped), 10 in *Actinoplanes* (spores in sporangia having spherical shape), 10 as *Micromonospora* (clusters of single conidia on substrate mycelium), 2 as *Nocardiopsis* (aerial mycelium totally sporulated), 3 as *Actinomyces* (branching vegetative mycelium), 1 as *Actinopolyspora* (long chains of spores on aerial hyphae) and 1 as *Dactylosporangium* (Oligosporous, claviform sporangia on aerial mycelium). Spore chain morphology of selected *Streptomyces* and non- *Streptomyces* isolates are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig 5.

The occurrence and allocation of different genera of actinobacteria in different rhizosphere soil samples of black pepper are represented in Table 4. The *Streptomyces* are widely distributed in all the locations due to their wide adaptability to different habitats. *Actinoplane* sp are frequently isolated from the Waynad, and Kozhikode followed by Coorg and Idukki where black pepper is cultivated in fairly large scale. *Micromonospora* sp. also reported from Meppadi and Pulpally in Waynad followed by Malappuram, Kottayam, Kannur and Coorg. *Nocardiopsis* sp.was isolated from Kanjangad of Kasaragod and Maneed of Ernakulam. *Actinomyces* sp. was isolated from Ernakulam and Kozhikode, while *Dactyloporium* sp. and *Actinopolyspora* sp were obtained from Vellamunda and Adikkolli of Waynad district. From Kunnathunad of Ernakulam district, 17 isolates were obtained; among them 16 were *Streptomyces* sp. one *Actinomyces* sp. Two Actinobacterial isolates remained unidentified from Kalangali of Kozhikode, suspect for rare actinobacterial species.

Distribution frequency actinobacteria in the rhizosphere of black pepper

Based on the morphological identification, frequencies of the occurrence of different genera of actinobacteria in different locations were calculated. The frequency of the genus *Streptomyces* was 77.51% followed by *Actinoplanes* (7.75%), *Micromonospora* (7.75%), *Actinomyces* (2.32%), *Nocardiopsis* (1.55%), *Actinopolyspora* (0.77%) and *Dactyloporium* (0.77%). The genera such as *Actinopolyspora* and *Dactyloporium* were recorded in low percentage of frequency (0.77%) (Fig 6). From the present study, *Streptomyces* was the most predominant genera when compared to other genera. Besides *Streptomyces*, the genera most commonly appeared on media were *Actinoplanes*, *Micromonospora*, and *Nocariopsis*.

In vitro evaluation of Actinobacteria for its antagonistic potential

The 129 actinobacterial isolates were studied for *in vitro* antagonistic activity against three major pathogens of black pepper (Table 5). Among them 72 isolates showed more than 50% of inhibition against *Phytophthora capsici* in which 4 isolates coming under *Micromonospora* sp, 6 isolates under *Actinoplane* sp. and 2 isolates under *Nocardiopsis* sp., while all others are *Streptomyces* sp. of which 18 isolates showed more than 80%

inhibition against *P. capsici*. These isolates belonged to two genera (1 *Micromonospora* and 6 *Streptomyces*).

58 isolates showed more than 50% of inhibition towards *Sclerotium rolfsii* in which five isolates belonged to *Actinoplane* sp., four *Micromonospora* sp. and one *Nocardiosis* sp., and the remaining are *Streptomyces* sp. (48). Twelve isolates showed more than 80% of inhibition towards *Sclerotium rolfsii* in which 10 are *Streptomyces* sp., and one each of *Actinoplane* sp. *Micromonospora* sp. Two *Streptomyces* sp isolates showed more than 90% inhibition towards *S. rolfsii*.

68 isolates showed more than 50% of inhibition towards *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* of which four are *Micromonospora* sp., two are *Actinomyces* sp., five are *Actinoplane* sp. and one *Dactylosporium* sp., The remaining are *Streptomyces* sp. 14 isolates showed more than 80% of inhibition towards *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* of which two belong to *Micromonospora* sp., and 12 of *Streptomyces* sp. Three *Streptomyces* isolates showed more than 90% of inhibition against *C. gloeosporioides*.

Only one isolate (IISRBPA1) showed more than 90% (92-94%) inhibition to all the tested pathogens showing its great potential. This is followed by IISRBPA25 and IISRBPA42. The shortlisted actinobacterial isolates were identified by 16S rDNA sequencing using actinomycetes specific primers S-C-Act-235-S-20 (50-CGCGGCCTATCAGCTTGTG-30), S-C-Act-878-A-19 (50-CCGTACTCCCCAGGCGGGG-30) (Stach *et al.*, 2003a). NCBI BLAST search of the 640 nucleotide position of 16S-rDNA gene sequence of potential isolates showed 97-99% homology to the known *Streptomyces* spp.

The 16S-rDNA gene sequence of the promising isolates IISRBPA1, IISRBPA25 and IISRBPA42 were deposited in NCBI Gen-Bank with accession numbers KM361516, KM361514 and KM361515 respectively.

Table 1. Details of locations surveyed for sample collection, no of samples collected, and no of Actinobacterial isolates obtained from them

District	Place of collection	Latitude and Longitude	Number of samples collected	No of isolates obtained	Isolates obtained
Coorg	Suntikoppa	12°28' N/ 75°50'E	6	2	IISRBPA32, 33
	Virajpet	12°11'N/ 75°55'E	3	4	IISRBPA34, 35, 36, 37
	Kathalakkadu	12°22'N / 75°42'E	4	1	IISRBPA38
Kasaragod	Kanjangad	12°19'N/75°5' E	4	2	IISRBPA Act69, 70
Kannur	Taliparamba	12°2'N/75°22'E	4	2	IISRBPA Act71, 72
	Panniyur	12°4'N/75°24'E	4	2	IISRBPA Act73, 74
Waynad	Vellamunda	11°44' N / 75°56'E	10	5	IISRBPA9, 10, 11, 12, 13
	Meppadi	11°33'N /76°8'E	3	7	IISRBPA14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
	Adikkolli	11°47'N /76°10'E	1	6	IISRBPA21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26

	Pulpally	11°44'N /76°12'E	10	5	IISRBPAct27, 28, 29, 30, 31
Kozhikode	Narikkuni	11°21'N/75°51'E	4	1	IISRBP Act51
	Nanminda	11°25'N/75°50'E	4	2	IISRBP Act52, 53
	Thalayad	11°30'N/ 75°53'E	5	4	IISRBP Act54, 55, 56, 57
	Kallanode	11°32' N/75°52'E	6	3	IISRBP Act58, 59, 60
	Kalangali	11°32'N/75°50'E	2	8	IISRBP Act61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68
Malappuram	Perinthalmanna	10°57'N/ 76°17'E	2	4	IISRBP Act 39, 40, 41, 42
Thrissur	Irinjalakuda	10°20'N/76°12'E	2	3	IISRBP Act124, 125, 126
	Thalappilly	10°39'N /76°14'E	4	3	IISRBP Act127, 128, 129
Ernakulam	Kunnathunad	09°53'N/76°23'E	2	17	IISRBP Act75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91
	Keezhillam	10°3'N/76°31'E	3	5	IISRBP Act92, 93, 94, 95, 96
	Ramamangalam,	09°56'N/76°29'E	2	5	IISRBP Act97, 98, 99, 100, 101
	Thiruvaniyoor	09°56'N/76°25'E	1	3	IISRBP Act102, 103, 104
	Mulanthuruthy	09°53'N/ 6°23'E	2	4	IISRBP Act105, 106, 107, 108
	Mannur	10°2'N/76°32'E	2	3	IISRBP Act109, 110, 111
	Maneed	09°54'N/76°27'E	2	6	IISRBP Act112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117
	Amballoor	10°26'N/76°15'E	1	1	IISRBP Act118
	Meempara	09°55'N/76°27'E	2	5	IISRBP Act119, 120, 121, 122, 123

Idukki	Venmani	10°59'N/ 75°59'E	6	3	IISRBP Act43, 44, 45,
	Cardamom Research Centre	09°53'N/ 77°09'E	2	2	IISRBP Act46, 47
	Pulianmala	09°42'N/ 77°10'E	2	0	
	Peerumedu	09°36'N/ 77°09'E	3	2	IISRBP Act48, 49
	Pampadumpara	09°47'N/ 77°09'E	4	0	
	Devikulam	09°58'N/ 77°02'E	4	1	IISRBP Act50
	Vechoor	09°35'N /76°20'E	4	3	IISRBP Act1, 2, 3
	Thalayalam	09°39'N /76°20'E 39' N /76° 20' E	2	2	IISRBP Act4, 5
Kottayam	Vaikom	09°33'N /76°23'E	1	3	IISRBP Act6, 7, 8
	Kalangali	11°32'N/75°50'E	2	8	IISRBP Act61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68

Table 2. Cultural characteristics of *Streptomyces* isolates

Isolate Code	Colour of aerial mycelium	Reversible side colour	Diffusible pigment	Spore chain morphology
IISRBPAc1	White	Greyed- yellow		Spira
IISRBPAc3	Grey- white	Greyed- yellow	–	Spira
IISRBPAc4	White	Grey-brown	Creyed- yellow	Rectiflexibles
IISRBPAc5	Grey-brown	Brown	–	Rectiflexibles
IISRBPAc6	Grey- brown	Brown	–	Spira
IISRBPAc7	Greyed-yellow	Brown	–	Rectiflexibles
IISRBPAc8	Greyed-purple	Brown	–	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc9	White	Brown	–	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc11	White	Greyed-yellow	–	Spira
IISRBPAc14	White	Brown	–	Fragmented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAc15	White	Greyed –white	–	Spira
IISRBPAc16	White	Greyed-white	–	Fragmented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAc19	Greyed- purple	Brown	Greyed- purple	Fragmented hyphae
IISRBPAc21	Greyed-white	Yellow-white	–	Rectiflexibles
IISRBPAc22	Greyed-white	Brown	–	Spira
IISRBPAc23	White	White	–	Rectiflexibles
IISRBPAc24	Greyed-yellow	Brown	–	Fragmented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAc25	White	Greyed –white	–	Spira

IISRBPAct30	White	Creyed orange	Creyed yellow	Spira
IISRBPAct33	Greyed-white	Brown	-	Fragmented branched aerial hyphae
IISRBPAct35	Greyed white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct36	Greyed- white	Greyed- orange	-	Spira
IISRBPAct37			-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct40	Greyed-white	Greyed-white	-	Spira
IISRBPAct42	Grey-brown	Black	Creyed-yellow	Spira
IISRBPAct44	Grey-brown	Black	-	Spira
IISRBPAct45	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct46	White	Creyed white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct47	Greyed- white	Creyed white	-	Spira
IISRBPAct48	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAct49	Greyed- white	Greyed-purple	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct50	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct51	Grey-brown	White	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct52	White	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct53	White	Brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAct54	White	Greyed- white	-	Spira
IISRBPAct55	Grey-brown	Black	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct56	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct58	Greyed-white	Black	-	Fragmented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAct59	Grey-brown	Black	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct61	Greyed- white	Black	-	Fragmented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAct63	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Arthrospores
IISRBPAct64	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Arthrospores
IISRBPAct65	Greyed- white	Greyed-yellow	-	Spira
IISRBPAct66	Greyed- white	White	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct67	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct69	Grey-brown	Black	-	Spira
IISRBPAct72	Greyed- white	Black	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct73	Greyed- white	Creyed- white	-	Spira
IISRBPAct74	White	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct76	Grey- brown	Brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAct77	Greyed- white	Creyed-white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct78	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct79	White	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct80	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct81	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct82	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Fragmented aerial mycelium
IISRBPAct83	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct84	Grey- brown	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct85	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct86	Greyed- white	Greyed-white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAct87	White	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAct88	Greyed- white	Dark- brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAct89	Dark -brown	Black	-	Spira
IISRBPAct90	White	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti

IISRBPAc91	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc92	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc94	Grey-brown	Black	-	Spira
IISRBPAc95	White	Greyed- white	-	Fragemented aerial hyphae
IISRBPAc96	White	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc97	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc98	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc99	White	White	-	Spira
IISRBPAc100	White	Creyed-yellow	-	Spira
IISRBPAc101	Greyed- white	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc102	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc103	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc104	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc105	Grey-brown	Black	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc106	Greyed- white	White	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc107	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc108	Brown	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc109	White	Greyed- white	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc110	Greyed- white	Creyed-white	-	Spira
IISRBPAc111	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAc113	Greyed- white	White	-	Spira
IISRBPAc114	White	Grey- brown	-	Spira
IISRBPAc115	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc116	White	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc117	White	Dull white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc118	White	Greyed -white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc119	Greyed-white	Greyed-yellow	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc120	Grey-brown	Black	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc121	Greyed-white	Brown	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc122	Greyed white	Greyed-yellow	-	Spira
IISRBPAc123	White	Creyed orange	-	Spira
IISRBPAc124	Grey-brown	Blak	-	Retinaculiaperti
IISRBPAc125	Greyed-white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc126	White	Greyed- white	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc127	Grey-brown	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc128	Greyed- white	Brown	-	Rectiflexibiles
IISRBPAc129	White	White	-	Rectiflexibiles

Table 3. Cultural characteristics of non-*Streptomyces* isolates

Isolate Code	Colour of aerial mycelium	Reversible side colour	Diffusible pigment	Spore chain morphology
<i>Micromonospora</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc2	Grey-white	Greyed- yellow	-	Single spore
IISRBPAc17	Greyed-white	White	-	Single spore
IISRBPAc18	White	Greyed-white	-	Single spore
IISRBPAc27	Grey-brown	Black	-	Single spore
IISRBPAc31	Grey-brown	Blak	-	Single spore
IISRBPAc32	Greyed-white	Brown	-	Spores on short spore chain

IISRBPAc34	White	Brown	–	Single spore
IISRBPAc39	Greyed-white	Greyed-yellow	–	Single spore
IISRBPAc41	Grey- brown	Brown	Brown	Single spore
IISRBPAc71	Brown	Creyed-white	–	Spores on short spore chain
<i>Actinoplanes</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc10	Greyed-white	Greyed-yellow	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc12	Grey- brown	Brown	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc20	Grey-brown	Brown	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc28	Greyed-white	Brown	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc29	Greyed-white	Greyed-yellow	–	Sporangia on substrate mycelium
IISRBPAc38	Grey-brown	Brown	–	Sporangia on substrate mycelium
IISRBPAc43	Greyed-white	Brown	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc57	Grey-brown	Brown	–	Sporangia on substrate mycelium
IISRBPAc60	Greyed- white	Creyed white	–	Sporangia
IISRBPAc68	Greyed- white	Greyed-yellow	–	Sporangia
<i>Nocardiopsis</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc70	Greyed- white	Greyed-white	–	Sporulated aerial mycelium
IISRBPAc112	Greyed- white	Creyed-white	–	Characteristic spore chain
<i>Dactylosporangium</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc13	Grey- brown	Greyed-white	–	Sporangia
<i>Actinomyces</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc62	Greyed- white	Creyed white	–	No aerial mycelium
IISRBPAc75	Brown	Greyed- white	–	Limited aerial mycelium
IISRBPAc93	Brown	Brown	–	Only substrate mycelium
<i>Actinopolyspora</i> sp.				
IISRBPAc26	Greyed-white	Greyed-yellow	–	Fragmented aerial hyphae

Table 4. Occurrence and distribution of actinobacteria in black pepper rhizosphere

District	Place n	No of Actinobacterial colonies	Streptomyces sp	Actinoplanes sp	Micromonospora sp	Nocardiopsis sp.	Actinomyces sp	Dactylosporangium.sp	Actinopolyspora sp	Unidentified
Kottayam	Vechoor	3	2		1					
	Thalayalam	2	2							
	Vaikom	3	3							
Waynad	Vellamunda	5	2	2				1		
	Meppadi	7	4	1	2					
	Adikkolli	6	5						1	
	Pulpally	5	1	2	2					
Coorg	Suntikoppa	2	1		1					
	Virajpet	4	3		1					
	Kathalakkadu	1		1						
Malappuram	Perinthalmanna	4	2		2					
Idukki	Venmani	3	2	1						
	Cardamom	2	2							
	Research Centre									
	Pulianmala	0	0							
	Peerumedu	2	2							
	Pampadumpara	0	0							
	Devikulam	1	1							
Kozhikode	Narikkuni	1	1							
	Nanminda	2	2							
	Thalayad	4	3	1						
	Kallanode	3	2	1						
	Kalangali	8	4	1			1			2
Kasaragod	Kanjangad	2	1			1				
Kannur	Taliparamba	2	1		1					
	Panniyur	2	2							
Ernakulam	Kunnathunad	17	16				1			
	Keezhillam	5	4				1			
	Ramamangalam,	5	5							
	Thiruvaniyoor	3	3							
	Mulanthuruthy	4	4							
	Mannur	3	3							
	Maneed	6	5			1				
	Amballoor	1	1							
	Meempara	5	5							
Thrissur	Irinjalakuda	3	3							
	Thalappilly	3	3							

Table 5. *In vitro* evaluation of actinobacteria against major pathogens of black pepper

Isolates	<i>P. capsici</i>	<i>S. rolfsii</i>	<i>Collectotrichum</i>
IISRBPAct1	91.80(73.36) ^A	94.03(75.87) ^A	93.70(75.46) ^A
IISRBPAct2	73.23(58.85) ^{NOPQ}	39.63(39.02) ^{WXYZ}	50.40(45.23) ^{(2)BCD}
IISRBPAct3	87.97(69.71) ^{BCD}	87.80(69.57) ^{BC}	66.63(54.72) ^{PQRST}
IISRBPAct4	90.20(71.76) ^{AB}	65.93(54.29) ^{IJKL}	91.63(73.20) ^{AB}
IISRBPAct5	39.87(39.15) ^{(2)MNOPQR}	54.43(47.54) ^{PQRS}	73.33(58.91) ^{KLMNO}
IISRBPAct6	32.73(34.89) ^{(2)VWXYZ(3)A}	24.07(29.37) ^{(2)CDEF}	41.23(39.95) ^{(2)GHIJ}
IISRBPAct7	11.97(20.17) ^{(3)JK}	5.50(13.56) ^{(2)NO}	12.07(20.32) ^{(2)VW}
IISRBPAct8	75.90(60.62) ^{KLMNO}	53.70(47.12) ^{QRST}	36.20(36.98) ^{(2)IJKLMN}
IISRBPAct9	77.57(61.75) ^{JKLMN}	85.57(67.68) ^{BCD}	78.73(62.54) ^{HIJK}
IISRBPAct10	74.83(59.89) ^{MNOP}	55.20(47.99) ^{OPQR}	36.67(37.25) ^{(2)IJKLMN}
IISRBPAct11	91.80(73.36) ^A	64.43(53.40) ^{JKLMN}	85.80(67.87) ^{CDEF}
IISRBPAct12	40.93(39.77) ^{(2)LMNOPQ}	65.57(54.07) ^{IJKLM}	52.07(46.18) ^{Z(2)ABCD}
IISRBPAct13	4.87(12.27) ^{(3)L}	0.00(0.00) ^{(2)P}	64.57(53.47) ^{RSTUV}
IISRBPAct14	2.13(8.28) ^{(3)M}	0.00(0.00) ^{(2)P}	32.07(34.48) ^{(2)LMNO}
IISRBPAct15	4.87(12.62) ^{(3)L}	0.00(0.00) ^{(2)P}	2.73(8.63) ^{(3)BC}
IISRBPAct16	75.37(60.25) ^{LMNOP}	28.50(32.22) ^{(2)BCD}	81.23(64.34) ^{FGHI}
IISRBPAct17	25.63(30.41) ^{(3)CDEF}	10.00(18.42) ^{(2)JKLMN}	38.30(38.23) ^{(2)IJKL}
IISRBPAct18	79.73(63.25) ^{GHIJKL}	57.07(49.07) ^{NOPQ}	82.90(65.68) ^{EFGH}
IISRBPAct19	81.93(64.86) ^{FGHI}	46.30(42.88) ^{STUVWX}	85.80(67.89) ^{CDEF}

IISRBPAct20	35.47(36.54) ^{(2)RSTUVWX}	9.63 ^{(18.04)(2)LMNO}	52.07(46.18Z) ^{(2)ABCD}
IISRBPAct21	89.57(71.17) ^{ABC}	74.80(59.89) ^{FGH}	37.07(37.50) ^{(2)IJKLMN}
IISRBPAct22	63.87(53.06) ^{WXYZ(2)A}	69.63(56.56) ^{GHIJ}	40.00(39.23) ^{(2)HIJK}
IISRBPAct23	91.80(73.36) ^A	68.17(55.68) ^{GHIJK}	89.57(71.16) ^{BC}
IISRBPAct24	78.10(62.11) ^{IJKLM}	47.40(43.51) ^{RSTUVW}	49.17(44.52) ^{(2)CDE}
IISRBPAct25	86.30(68.31) ^{CDE}	90.37(71.94) ^{AB}	87.90(69.65) ^{BCD}
IISRBPAct26	22.37(28.22) ^{(3)FG}	31.83 ^{(34.34Z)(2)ABC}	35.40(36.51) ^{(2)JKLMN}
IISRBPAct27	32.20(34.56) ^{(2)WXYZ(3)AB}	46.30(42.88) ^{STUVWX}	19.13(25.94) ^{(2)STU}
IISRBPAct 28	84.67(66.95) ^{DEF}	57.43(49.28) ^{MNOPQ}	75.80(60.53) ^{IJKLM}
IISRBPAct 29	37.30(37.63) ^{(2)QRSTUV}	24.80 ^{(29.87)(2)CDE}	38.33(38.25) ^{(2)IJKL}
IISRBPAct 30	87.37(69.19) ^{BCD}	87.43(69.25) ^{BC}	87.50(69.30) ^{CDE}
IISRBPAct31	91.80(73.36) ^A	84.07(66.49) ^{CDE}	72.50(58.37) ^{LMNOP}
IISRBPAct32	50.23(45.13) ^{(2)EFGHI}	63.33(52.74) ^{IJKLMNO}	31.23(33.91) ^{(2)NOP}
IISRBPAct33	24.53(29.68) ^{(3)DEF}	55.20(47.99) ^{OPQR}	37.50(37.76) ^{(2)JKLMN}
IISRBPAct34	44.23(41.69) ^{(2)JKLMN}	0.00 ^{(0.29)(2)P}	42.07 ^{(40.43)(2)FGHI}
IISRBPAct35	37.70(37.88) ^{(2)PQRSTUV}	16.33 ^{(23.83)(2)GHIJ}	37.07 ^{(37.50)(2)IJKLMN}
IISRBPAct36	91.80(73.36) ^A	51.87(46.07) ^{QRSTUV}	85.40(67.54) ^{CDEFG}
IISRBPAct37	90.67(72.23) ^{AB}	13.70(21.66) ^{(2)HIJKL}	50.00(45.00) ^{(2)BCD}
IISRBPAct38	89.03(70.67) ^{ABC}	47.80(43.74) ^{RSTUVW}	77.50(61.68) ^{IJKL}
IISRBPAct39	32.17(34.55) ^{(2)WXYZ(3)AB}	0.00 ^{(0.29)(2)P}	37.50(37.76) ^{(2)JKLMN}
IISRBPAct40	83.03(65.68) ^{EFGH}	60.00(50.77) ^{KLMNOPQ}	50.40(45.23) ^{(2)BCD}
IISRBPAct41	32.20(34.56) ^{(2)WXYZ(3)AB}	0.00 ^{(0.29)(2)P}	37.50(37.76) ^{(2)JKLMN}
IISRBPAct42	68.80(56.06) ^{QRSTUVW}	94.40(76.31) ^A	93.70(75.46) ^A
IISRBPAct43	67.17(55.04) ^{STUVWX}	0.00 ^{(0.29)(2)P}	54.13(47.37) ^{YZ(2)ABC}
IISRBPAct44	21.27(27.45) ^{(3)FGH}	0.00 ^{(0.29)(2)P}	50.80(45.46) ^{(2)ABCD}

IISRBPAct45	47.50(43.56) ⁽²⁾ GHIJK	30.37(33.43) ⁽²⁾ ABC	49.13(44.50) ⁽²⁾ CDE
IISRBPAct46	83.60(66.11) ^{EF} G	88.90(70.60) ^{BC}	65.40(53.97) ^{QRSTU}
IISRBPAct47	54.07(47.34) ⁽²⁾ CDE	44.40(41.78) ^{UVWX}	48.73(44.27) ⁽²⁾ CDEF
IISRBPAct48	33.83(35.57) ⁽²⁾ TUVWXYZ	22.20(28.11) ⁽²⁾ DEFG	34.57(36.00) ⁽²⁾ KLMNO
IISRBPAct49	59.53(50.50) ^{Z(2)} AB	44.03(41.57) ^{UVWX}	70.80(57.30) ^{MNOPQR}
IISRBPAct50	79.77(63.34) ^{GHIJK}	40.37(39.44) ^{WXY}	83.75(66.24) ^{DEFGH}
IISRBPAct51	71.10(57.49) ^{PQRS}	41.47(40.08) ^{WXY}	25.40(30.25) ⁽²⁾ PQR
IISRBPAct52	37.80(37.94) ⁽²⁾ PQRSTU	85.17(67.37) ^{BCDE}	54.53(47.60) ^{XYZ(2)} ABC
IISRBPAct53	28.90(32.51) ⁽³⁾ ABCD	28.13(32.02) ⁽²⁾ BCD	69.70(56.61) ^{NOPQR}
IISRBPAct54	14.43(22.30) ⁽³⁾ IJ	36.63(36.11) ^{YZ(2)} AB	7.23(15.53) ⁽²⁾ XYZ
IISRBPAct55	78.90(62.70) ^{HIJKLM}	54.77(47.74) ^{PQRS}	3.00(6.01) ⁽³⁾ C
IISRBPAct56	40.03(39.24) ⁽²⁾ MNOPQR	47.77(43.72) ^{RSTUVW}	75.77(60.53) ^{IJKLM}
IISRBPAct57	65.00(53.73) ^{UVWXY}	62.97(52.53) ^{JKLMNOP}	24.20(29.44) ⁽²⁾ QRS
IISRBPAct58	2.20(6.98) ⁽³⁾ M	0.00(0.29) ⁽²⁾ P	10.27(18.67) ⁽²⁾ WX
IISRBPAct59	45.57(42.45) ⁽²⁾ HIJKL	53.67(47.10) ^{QRST}	69.07(56.21) ^{NOPQRS}
IISRBPAct60	27.77(31.78) ⁽³⁾ BCDE	83.67(66.17) ^{CDE}	37.00(37.46) ⁽²⁾ IJKLMNOP
IISRBPAct61	35.53(36.58) ⁽²⁾ RSTUVW	12.20(20.43) ⁽²⁾ IJKL	75.73(60.54) ^{IJKLM}
IISRBPAct62	23.90(29.25) ⁽³⁾ EF	45.17(42.23) ^{TUVWX}	56.33(48.64) ^{WXYZ(2)} AB
IISRBPAct63	77.77(61.89) ^{IJKLM}	68.53(55.88) ^{GHIJ}	25.40(30.23) ⁽²⁾ PQR
IISRBPAct64	34.43(35.93) ⁽²⁾ STUVWXY	0.00(0.29) ⁽²⁾ P	80.60(63.89) ^{GHI}
IISRBPAct65	69.47(56.47) ^{QRSTU}	44.03(41.51) ^{UVWX}	61.80(51.83) ^{TUVW}
IISRBPAct66	68.33(55.76) ^{RSTUVWX}	30.73(33.65) ⁽²⁾ ABC	12.07(20.24) ⁽²⁾ VW
IISRBPAct67	81.67(64.66) ^F GHIJ	40.37 ^{YZ} (39.44) ^{WXY}	21.20(27.38) ⁽²⁾ RST
IISRBPAct68	63.33(52.75) ^{XYZ(2)} A	0.00(0.29) ⁽²⁾ P	6.67(14.86) ⁽²⁾ XYZ
IISRBPAct69	29.43(32.82) ⁽²⁾ Z(3)ABC	24.80(29.84) ⁽²⁾ CDE	37.60(37.82) ⁽²⁾ IJKLM
IISRBPAct70	65.57(54.08) ^{TUVWX}	62.93(52.50) ^{JKLMNOP}	46.03(42.72) ⁽²⁾ DEFGH

IISRBPAct71	30.53(33.53) ^{(2)XYZ(3)AB}	57.40(49.26) ^{MNOPQ}	80.00(63.45) ^{HIJ}
IISRBPAct72	45.00(42.12) ^{(2)IJKLM}	57.03(49.05) ^{NOPQ}	57.53(49.34) ^{WXYZ(2)A}
IISRBPAct73	76.67(61.15) ^{KLMNO}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	9.63(17.97) ^{(2)WX}
IISRBPAct74	11.10(19.38) ^{(3)JK}	17.80(24.94) ^{(2)EFGHI}	35.17(36.37) ^{(2)JKLMNO}
IISRBPAct75	1.67(5.99) ^{(3)MN}	31.10(33.88) ^{(2)ABC}	24.80(29.86) ^{(2)QR}
IISRBPAct76	53.33(46.91) ^{(2)DEF}	22.20(28.11) ^{(2)DEFG}	0.00(0.29) ^{(3)D}
IISRBPAct77	12.77(20.75) ^{(3)JK}	40.00(39.23) ^{WXYZ}	74.53(59.72) ^{JKLMN}
IISRBPAct78	48.33(44.04) ^{(2)FGHIJ}	59.63(50.56) ^{LMNOPQ}	6.00(11.73) ^{(2)Z(3)AB}
IISRBPAct79	31.67(34.24) ^{(2)WXYZ(3)AB}	43.30(41.15) ^{VWX}	6.67(14.86) ^{(2)XYZ}
IISRBPAct80	77.23(61.52) ^{KLMNO}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	62.40(52.18) ^{TUVW}
IISRBPAct81	76.67(61.12) ^{KLMNO}	30.37(33.43) ^{(2)ABC}	42.40(40.62) ^{(2)EFGHI}
IISRBPAct82	33.33(35.25) ^{(2)UVWXYZ(3)A}	78.53(62.40) ^{EF}	53.30(46.89) ^{YZ(2)ABC}
IISRBPAct83	66.10(54.40) ^{TUVWX}	6.63(14.83) ^{(2)MNO}	78.80(62.62) ^{HIJK}
IISRBPAct84	63.33(52.74) ^{XYZ(2)A}	64.43(53.40) ^{JKLMN}	47.90(43.80) ^{(2)CDEFG}
IISRBPAct85	18.33(25.34) ^{(3)GHI}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	0.00(0.29) ^{(3)D}
IISRBPAct86	72.77(58.56) ^{OPQR}	38.17(38.15) ^{XYZ(2)A}	4.83(10.44) ^{(3)AB}
IISRBPAct87	1.10(3.49) ^{(3)N}	84.80(67.08) ^{BCDE}	31.50(34.10) ^{(2)MNOP}
IISRBPAct88	4.43(12.10) ^{(3)L}	10.73(19.09) ^{(2)JKLM}	69.70(56.61) ^{NOPQR}
IISRBPAct89	64.43(53.39) ^{VWXYZ}	48.17(43.95) ^{RSTUVW}	3.67(9.14) ^{(3)BC}
IISRBPAct90	30.00(33.19) ^{(2)YZ(3)ABC}	69.43(56.45) ^{GHIJ}	0.00(0.29) ^{(3)D}
IISRBPAct91	56.63(48.82) ^{(2)BCD}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	65.47(54.01) ^{QRSTU}
IISRBPAct92	65.00(53.73) ^{UVWXY}	59.63(50.56) ^{LMNOPQ}	25.43(30.26) ^{(2)PQR}
IISRBPAct93	2.20(6.98) ^{(3)M}	22.20(28.11) ^{(2)DEFG}	63.00(52.54) ^{STUVW}
IISRBPAct94	68.90(56.12) ^{QRSTUV}	67.03(54.96) ^{HIJKL}	21.20(27.38) ^{(2)RST}
IISRBPAct95	69.43(56.44) ^{QRSTU}	52.57(46.47) ^{QRSTU}	76.37(60.94) ^{IJKLM}
IISRBPAct96	56.10(48.51) ^{(2)BCD}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	59.37(50.41) ^{UVWXY}

IISRBPAct97	60.43(51.03) ^{YZ(2)AB}	29.27(32.75) ^{(2)BCD}	23.00(28.58) ^{(2)RS}
IISRBPAct98	71.10(57.49) ^{PQRS}	59.23(50.34) ^{LMNOPQ}	73.30(58.89) ^{KLMNO}
IISRBPAct99	75.00(60.01) ^{MNOP}	55.53(48.18) ^{OPQR}	0.00(0.29) ^{(3)D}
IISRBPAct100	68.33(55.76) ^{RSTUVWX}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	6.03(13.99) ^{(2)YZ(3)A}
IISRBPAct101	17.23(24.49) ^{(3)HI}	67.43(55.21) ^{GHIJKL}	77.60(61.76) ^{IJKL}
IISRBPAct102	43.33(41.17) ^{(2)JKLMNO}	80.37(63.73) ^{DEF}	58.17(49.71) ^{VWXYZ}
IISRBPAct103	58.87(50.11) ^{(2)ABC}	46.27(42.85) ^{STUVWX}	4.20(9.56) ^{(3)BC}
IISRBPAct104	71.13(57.54) ^{PQRS}	15.57(23.21) ^{(2)GHIJK}	66.63(54.73) ^{PQRST}
IISRBPAct105	38.87(38.57) ^{(2)OPQRST}	47.03(43.30) ^{RSTUVW}	7.83(16.16) ^{(2)XY}
IISRBPAct106	43.90(41.49) ^{(2)JKLMNO}	74.83(59.91) ^{FGH}	16.97(24.30) ^{(2)TU}
IISRBPAct107	80.00(63.48) ^{GHIJK}	64.07(53.18) ^{JKLMN}	65.47(54.02) ^{QRSTU}
IISRBPAct108	52.20(46.26) ^{(2)DEFG}	5.90(13.51) ^{(2)NO}	29.03(32.60) ^{(2)OPQ}
IISRBPAct109	72.77(58.56) ^{OPQR}	66.30(54.52) ^{IJKL}	61.80(51.83) ^{TUVW}
IISRBPAct110	76.10(60.77) ^{KLMNO}	64.07(53.18) ^{JKLMN}	72.70(58.51) ^{LMNOP}
IISRBPAct111	33.90(35.60) ^{(2)TUVWXYZ}	75.20(60.16) ^{FG}	67.27(55.12) ^{OPQRST}
IISRBPAct112	50.53(45.31) ^{(2)EFGH}	10.37(18.76) ^{(2)KLM}	12.07(20.24) ^{(2)VW}
IISRBPAct113	68.33(55.76) ^{RSTUVWX}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	15.17(22.91) ^{(2)UV}
IISRBPAct114	31.67(34.24) ^{(2)WXYZ(3)AB}	20.00(26.53) ^{(2)EFGH}	81.20(64.34) ^{FGHI}
IISRBPAct115	66.10(54.40) ^{TUVWX}	75.20(60.16) ^{FG}	25.40(30.23) ^{(2)PQR}
IISRBPAct116	68.90(56.11) ^{QRSTUV}	65.57(54.07) ^{IJKLM}	56.37(8.66) ^{WXYZ(2)AB}
IISRBPAct117	18.90(25.75) ^{(3)GH}	75.20(60.16) ^{FG}	69.67(56.60) ^{NOPQR}
IISRBPAct118	68.90(56.11) ^{QRSTUV}	55.20(47.99) ^{OPQR}	61.20(51.48) ^{TUVWX}
IISRBPAct119	1.67(5.99) ^{(3)MN}	70.37(57.02) ^{GHIJ}	71.47(57.72) ^{MNOPQ}
IISRBPAct120	39.47(38.91) ^{(2)NOPQRS}	78.53(62.40) ^{EF}	74.53(59.72) ^{JKLMN}
IISRBPAct121	77.23(61.52) ^{KLMNO}	65.93(54.29) ^{IJKL}	70.90(57.47) ^{MNOPQ}

IISRBPAc122	65.00(53.73) ^{UVWXY}	0.00(0.29) ^{(2)P}	61.80(51.83) ^{TUVW}
IISRBPAc123	42.77(40.84) ^{(2)KLMNOP}	79.27(62.92) ^{DEF}	72.13(58.21) ^{LMNOP}
IISRBPAc124	33.90(35.60) ^{(2)TUVWXYZ}	73.33(58.92) ^{FGHI}	61.80(51.83) ^{TUVW}
IISRBPAc125	67.23(55.09) ^{STUVWX}	31.83(34.34) ^{Z(2)ABC}	75.77(60.56) ^{IJKLM}
IISRBPAc126	79.43(63.10) ^{GHIJKL}	5.53(13.15) ^{(2)O}	58.17(49.72) ^{VWXYZ}
IISRBPAc127	70.00(56.81) ^{QRST}	17.40(24.62) ^{(2)FGHI}	0.00(0.29) ^{(3)D}
IISRBPAc128	18.33(25.34) ^{(3)GHI}	70.00(56.80) ^{GHIJ}	59.37(50.41) ^{UVWXY}
IISRBPAc129	10.53(18.86) ^{(3)K}	44.43(41.80) ^{UVWX}	20.00(26.52) ^{(2)RSTU}

Notes: Data are mean of three replications. Figures in parentheses are arc sine transformed values. Data followed by the same letter in a column are not significantly different ($p = 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.




Fig. 1 Map showing Kerala and parts of Karnataka for soil sample collection



Fig 2. Colony morphology *Streptomyces* isolates on ISP2 (A) IISRBPAc45 (B) IISRBPAc47 (C) IISRBPAc5 (D) IISRBPAc9 (E) IISRBPAc16 (F) IISRBPAc 21 (G) IISRBPAc35 (H) IISRBPAc40 (I) IISRBPAc44 (J) IISRBPAc1 (K) IISRBPAc25 (L) IISRBPAc3 (M) IISRBPAc15 (N) IISRBPAc50 (O) IISRBPAc 73 (P) IISRBPAc42.



Fig 3. Colony morphology of non- *Streptomyces* isolates on ISP2 *Actinomyces* sp. (A) IISRBPAc75. *Actinopolyspora* sp. (B) IISRBPAc26. *Dactylosporangium* sp. (C) IISRBPAc 13. *Nocaridopsis* sp. (D) IISRBPAc112. *Actinoplanes* sp. (E) IISRBPAc60, (F) IISRBPAc 68, (G) IISRBPAc62. (H) IISRBPAc28 *Micromonospora* sp. (I) IISRBPAc2 (J) IISRBPAc41 (K) IISRBPAc39 (L) IISRBPAc27 (M) IISRBPAc34 (N) IISRBPAc18 (O) IISRBPAc 17 (P) IISRBPAc27.




Fig 4 Microscopy of *Streptomyces* under light microscopy (A) IISRBPAc30 spira type (B) IISRBPAc21 *Rectiflexibiles* type (C) IISRBPAc16 Arthrospore formation in mycelia (D) IISRBPAc46 *Rectiflexibiles* type (E) IISRBPAc54 Spira type (F) IISRBPAc 73-closed spira (G) IISRBPAc36-closed spira (H) IISRBPAc40-*Retinaculiaperti* type (I) IISRBPAc44- *Retinaculiaperti* type (J) IISRBPAc101- spira (K) IISRBPAc25- spira (L) IISRBPAc3- spira




Fig 5 Microscopy of non - *Streptomyces* the isolates *Actinoplanes* sp. (A) IISRBPAc12, (B) IISRBPAc28, (C) IISRBPAc57 (D) IISRBPAc20 (Sporangia formation on aerial and substrate mycelium) *Dactylosporangium* sp. (E) IISRBPAc13 (oligosporous, claviform sporangia developed on aerial mycelium). *Actinomyces* sp. (F) IISRBPAc93 (G) IISRBPAc62 (Substrate mycelium) *Actinopolyspora* sp (H) IISRBPAc26 (long chains of spores on aerial hyphae), *Nocaridopsis* sp. (I) IISRBPAc112 (Fragmenting branched aerial hyphae) *Micromonospora* sp. (J) IISRBPAc27, (K) IISRBPAc71 (hyphae along with single, non-motile and smooth spores).




Fig 6. Percentage frequency of isolated actinomycete genera

DISCUSSIONS

The black pepper cultivation is mainly concentrated to the Southern States *i.e.*, Kerala and Karnataka. Microorganisms in the rhizosphere region of the black pepper play an important role in its growth and development through nutrient regeneration by biological processes either decomposition or by fixing the nitrogen and phosphorous. Evaluation of microbial diversity in the rhizosphere ecosystem of black pepper is not attempted so far. The present study has been undertaken to find out the diversity and function of actinobacteria in the rhizosphere soil of black pepper.

Actinobacteria especially *Streptomyces*, have been reported from rhizosphere region of paddy field (Priyadharsini *et al.*, 2015). *Streptomyces* was the most predominant genera recorded in the present study when compared to other genera. The superiority of *Streptomyces* among the actinobacteria especially in soils has also been described by many workers (Dhanasekaran *et al.* 2009; Vijayakumar *et al.*, 2007; Moncheva *et al.* 2002; Balagurunathan *et al.*, 1996; Mansour *et al.*, 2003; Sharma *et al.*, 2012; Sirisha *et al.*, 2013). The frequency of the genus *Streptomyces* was 57.4% which is lower as compared to our studies (77.51%), followed by *Actinopolyspora* (14.7%), *Saccharopolyspora* (10.3%), *Actinomadura* (5.9%), *Nocardiopsis* (4.4%) and *Micromonospora* (2.9%). The other genera such as *Microbispora*, *Actinomyces* and *Actinoplanes* were recorded in low percentage of frequency (1.5%). The superiority of *Streptomyces* sp. other than soil habitat was proved by Jami *et al* in 2015, They revealed

significant actinobacterial diversity in the fresh water fish gut microbiota based on classical cultivation techniques, repetitive sequence-based PCR (rep-PCR), 16S rDNA sequencing succeeded by phylogenetic analysis. In their study, 72% of the total isolates belong to the family *Streptomycetaceae*. The most leading group could be allocated to the family of *Pseudonocardiaceae* followed by the members of *Micromonosporaceae*. Besides *Streptomyces*, the non-*Streptomyces* genera most commonly appeared on media were *Actinopolyspora*, *Saccharopolyspora*, *Actinomadura*, *Nocardopsis*, *Micromonospora*, *Actinoplanes* and *Microbispora* and *Actinomyces*. The predominance of *Streptomyces* was also found in deep waters of Arctic origin and deep-sea coral reef invertebrates (Sarmiento-Vizcaíno *et al.*, 2017). Actinobacteria isolated from species of gorgonian corals (Zhang *et al.*, 2013) where *Streptomyces* and *Micromonospora* were the dominant ones. In actinobacterial strains isolated from male cones of Scots pine trees (*Pinus sylvestris*) also, more frequent representative strains were *Streptomyces* (Axenov-Gribanov *et al.*, 2016).

During the study of endophytic actinobacterial diversity in the native herbaceous plant species of Korea, Kim *et al.*, 2012 found that the genus *Streptomyces* comprised 45.9% of the total isolates, followed by *Micromonospora* (18.8%), *Rhodococcus* (6.6%), *Microbispora* (4.9%), and *Micrococcus* (4.9%). Most of the isolates showed hydrolytic enzyme activity and antagonistic activity against at least one fungal pathogen *Phytophthora capsici*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Fusarium solani* and *Alternaria alternata*. They got two *Micromonospora* spp. that showed antagonistic activity towards *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. In our study also we got five *Micromonospora* sp. which showed more than 50% of inhibition towards *P. capsici*, two showed more than 50% inhibition against *S. rolfii* and five showed more than 50% inhibition against *C. gloeosporioides*. According to Gangwar *et al.*, 2014, endophytic *Micromonospora* sp. isolated from medicinal plants showed 16% inhibition, *Streptomyces albosporus* O11 showed 53.5% of inhibition, and *Saccharopolyspora* O9 showed 56.4% of inhibition towards *Phytophthora drechsleri*.

In vitro evaluation method was used as the primary screening procedure for selecting the potential isolates (Awla *et al.*, 2017; Xue *et al.*, 2013). Among the non-*Streptomyces*, *Actinoplane* sp. also showed antifungal activity. According to El-Tarabily (2009), *Actinoplanes capanulatus* showed 32.30mm diameter of clear zone of inhibition against *Pythium aphanidermatum*, a soil borne pathogen belongs to oomycetes. Here four *Actinoplanes* sp. showed more than 50% inhibition towards the oomycete *P. capsici*. *Actinoplanes philippinensis* exhibited only 11.35mm of lesion diameter on performing *in vitro* bioassay against *Pythium coloratum* while in control; it was 16.5mm (El-Tarabily *et al.*, 1997). Sabu *et al.* 2017 reported the antimicrobial activity of endophytic *Nocardopsis* sp. from *Zingiber officinale* rhizome towards *Pythium myriotylum*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Bacillus subtilis*. In our studies, two *Nocardopsis* sp. showed more than 50% inhibition towards *P. capsici*.

Kawato and Shinobu (1979) used cover slip culture method for characterizing actinobacteria morphologically along with many biochemical tests. Hensyl *et al.*, 1994 provided the morphological features of *Streptomyces* as white and yellowish grey coloured and light yellowish brown coloured reverse side on ISP media without diffusible pigments. The *Actinopolyspora* sp with elongated and circular shaped 20-30 spore chain structures was observed by Xiao-Yang *et al.* (2000). In the present study, the isolates were characterized based on spore chain morphology. Kokare *et al* (2004a) characterized four isolates of *Actinopolyspora* (*A. halophila*, *A. mortivalis*, *A. iraqiensis* and

Actinopolyspora sp. AH1) using slide culture technique and scanning electron microscopy.

Morphological, microscopical, biochemical and physiological characters are used for characterizing and identifying *Streptomyces* sp. (Augustine *et al.*, 2004 ; Dhanasekaran *et al.*, 2005a; Narayana *et al.*, 2005; Augustine and Kapadnis, 2005; You *et al.*, 2005; Dhevagi and Poorani, 2006). Panaiyadiyan and Chellaia (2011) followed colony morphology (color of aerial spore mass, reverse side and diffusible pigments) and microscopic morphology (spore, sporangium) for the characterization and identification of actinobacteria.

Subhasish *et al* (2013) characterized and identified *Nocardiopsis* sp. from Namakkal and Tiruchirappalli soil samples using morphological, biochemical and molecular methods. Upon the Phase contrast microscopic study of *Nocardiopsis* sp. (Vimal *et al.*, 2009) the spores are smooth, appeared in long chain and oblong in shape. The same type of spore chain morphology was observed in IISRBPA112, the *Nocardiopsis* sp. obtained in our study. Chiaraphongphon *et al.*, 2010, isolated a novel *Dactylosporangium* sp. from tropical forest soil in Nakhon Sawan Province, Thailand and found that the strain possessed finger-shaped sporangia on short sporangiophores that developed directly from substrate hyphae under scanning electron microscopy. Using light microscopy, we also identified the same structure in IISRBPA13. Morphological characterization of *Micromonospora* sp. (Thawai *et al.*, 2005), showed the colonies are yellowish white and turn greyish black after sporulation in ISP2 medium. Single spores are formed on substrate hyphae and aerial mycelium was not well developed. In our studies, we got the *Micromonospora* cultures that exhibited aerial mycelial mass colour ranges from Greyed-white to Greyed-brown while substrate mycelium found in Greyed-yellow, brown or black.

Morphological features such as aerial colour mass, substrate mycelium, melanoid pigments, and spore chain morphology are critical in the genus level identification of the *Streptomyces* sp. According to the type of spore chain observed under light microscopy, the isolates were categorized as Rectiflexibiles where spores are straight chains, Spira which is spiral in shape may be closed or opened or Retinaculiaperti as compact coils or extended open coils. Taddei *et al.*, in 2006 studied morphological characters of 71 isolates retrieved from soil samples and found different strains of *Streptomyces* sp. with different spore chain morphology. In our study also a number of *Streptomyces* sp. were identified only with the spore chain morphology. Different type of sporangial formation especially on substrate mycelia are the main characteristic feature of *Actinoplanes* sp. (Li *et al.*, 2016). Sporangium having sac like structure in which the spore become matured. In our study, 10 isolates were categorized under *Actinoplanes* sp. based on the development of sporangia. *Actinomyces* sp. is characterized by their high degree of branching of the vegetative hyphae and the only genus associated with human normal microbial flora (Sarkonen *et al.*, 2001). We categorized three isolates for the genus because of the true branching of the vegetative mycelium.

From our study on occurrence of different genera of *Actinobacteria* in different rhizosphere soil samples of black pepper, it was observed that Wayanad soil samples are richer in actinobacterial diversity compared to the other districts of Kerala. According to Gopal *et al.*, 2015, actinomycetes diversity was less; only *Streptomyces* sp. was isolated from rhizosphere of major horticultural crops. According to Hamedani *et al* (2012) forest and lake soils of Wayanad maintain a diverse population of potent actinomycetes. They isolated *Streptomyces lomondensis* strain CK63 having exceptional

enzymatic potential and antibacterial properties that would found a variety of industrial and pharmaceutical applications. Smitha *et al.*, in 2016, isolated *Streptomyces sp.*, *Nocardia sp.* and *Pseudonocardia sp.* with phosphate solubilizing capability from Wayanad District and thus found that Wayanad is a good region of biodiversity and has been sufficiently acceptable due to its immense floral diversity and also microbial diversity.

CONCLUSION

The present study showed that, the black pepper rhizosphere in Southern states of India is eminently a suitable ecosystem for the diversity of potential actinobacteria which could further enhance crop yield and quality. Though there are 129 isolates of actinobacteria reported in the present study, it does not give a complete picture of actinobacterial diversity. It needs periodic visits to the field, isolation from different substrates collected from the surroundings and the usage of different media.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the CSIR (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research), New Delhi, for funding, the Director, ICAR-Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode, Kerala for providing lab facilities.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Augustine, S. K., & Kapadnis, B. P., 2005, Bioactive compounds from actinomycetes with a potential to inhibit pathogenic fungi. *Journal Microbial World*, 7(2), 328-331.
2. Augustine, S. K., Bhavsar, S. P., Baserisalehi, M., & Kapadnis, B. P. (2004). Isolation, characterization and optimization of antifungal activity of an actinomycete of soil origin. *Indian Journal of Experimental biology*, 42, 928-932.
3. Awla, H. K., Kadir, J., Othman, R., Rashid, T. S., Hamid, S., Wong, M. Y., 2017, Plant growth-promoting abilities and biocontrol efficacy of *Streptomyces sp.* UPMRS4 against *Pyricularia oryzae*. *Biological Control*, 112, 55-63.
4. Axenov-Gribanov, D. V., Voytsekhovskaya, I. V., Rebets, Y. V., Tokovenko, B. T., Penzina, T. A., Gornostay, T. G., Adelshin, R.V., Protasov, E.S., Luzhetskyy, A. N., Timofeyev, M. A., 2016, Actinobacteria possessing antimicrobial and antioxidant activities isolated from the pollen of scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) grown on the Baikal shore. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 109(10), 1307-1322.
5. Balagurunathan, R., Xu, L., Jang, C., 1996, Diversity of soil actinomycetes from South India and South China. *Actinomycetes*, 7, 89-94.
6. Burkholder, P. R., Sun, S. H., Ehrlich, J., Anderson, L., 1954, Criteria of speciation in the genus *Streptomyces*. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 60(1), 102-123.
7. Chiaraphongphon, S., Suriyachadkun, C., Tamura, T., Thawai, C., 2010, *Dactylosporangium maewongense sp. nov.*, isolated from soil. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*, 60(5), 1200-1205.

8. Crawford, D. L., Lynch, J. M., Whipps, J. M., Ousley, M. A., 1993, Isolation and characterization of actinomycete antagonists of a fungal root pathogen. *Applied and environmental Microbiology*, 59(11), 3899-3905.
9. Dhanasekaran, D., 2005a, *Biochemical and molecular characterization and antimicrobial compounds of Streptomyces spp. from Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu, India* (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D Thesis, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-24).
10. Dhanasekaran, D., Thajuddin, N., Panneerselvam, A., 2009, Distribution and Ecobiology of Antagonistic *Streptomyces* from Agriculture and Coastal Soil in Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Culture collections*, 6(1), 10-20.
11. Dhevagi, P., Poorani, E., 2006, Isolation and characterization of actinomycetes from marine sediments. *Journal of Microbial World*, 8(1), 59-65.
12. El-Tarabily, K. A., Hardy, G. E. S. J., Sivasithamparam, K., Hussein, A. M., Kurtböke, D. I., 1997, The potential for the biological control of cavity-spot disease of carrots, caused by *Pythium coloratum*, by streptomycete and non-streptomycete actinomycetes. *The New Phytologist*, 137(3), 495-507.
13. El-Tarabily, K. A., Nassar, A. H., Hardy, G. S. J., Sivasithamparam, K., 2009, Plant growth promotion and biological control of *Pythium aphanidermatum*, a pathogen of cucumber, by endophytic actinomycetes. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 106(1), 13-26.
14. Gangwar, M., Dogra, S., Gupta, U. P., Kharwar, R. N., 2014, Diversity and biopotential of endophytic actinomycetes from three medicinal plants in India. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 8(2), 184-191.
15. Gopal, K. S., Kurien, S., 2015, Diversity of bacteria in the rhizosphere of major horticultural crops in the tropical homesteads of Kerala. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 72(1), 130-132.
16. Hamedani, K., Soudbakhsh, M. N., Das, A., Prashanthi, K., Bhattacharya, S., Suryan, S., 2012, Enzymatic screening, antibacterial potential and molecular characterization of *Streptomyces* isolated from Wayanad district in Kerala, India. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biosciences* 2(1), 201-210.
17. Hensyl, W. R., 1994, Bergey's Manual of systematic bacteriology 9th Edition. *John. G. Holt and Stanley, T. Williams (Eds.) Williams and Wilkins Baltemor, Philadelphia, Hong Kong, London Munich, Sydney, Tokyo.*
18. Hong, K., Gao, A. H., Xie, Q. Y., Gao, H. G., Zhuang, L., Lin, H. P., Yu, H., Li, J., Yao, X., Goodfellow, M., Ruan, J. S., 2009, Actinomycetes for marine drug discovery isolated from mangrove soils and plants in China. *Marine drugs*, 7(1), 24-44.
19. Hopwood, D. A., 1960, Phase-contrast observations on *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *Microbiology*, 22(1), 295-302.
20. Jami, M., Ghanbari, M., Kneifel, W., Domig, K. J., 2015, Phylogenetic diversity and biological activity of culturable Actinobacteria isolated from freshwater fish gut microbiota. *Microbiological research*, 175, 6-15.
21. Kavitha, M., Vijayalakshmi, M., 2007, Studies on cultural, physiological and antimicrobial activities of *Streptomyces rochei*. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 12, 2026-2029.
22. Kawato, M., Shinobu, R., 1959, A simple technique for the microscopical observation, memoirs of the Osaka University Liberal Arts and Education. *Natural Sciences*, 8, 114-4.
23. Khamna, S., Yokota, A., Lumyong, S., 2009, Actinomycetes isolated from medicinal plant rhizosphere soils: diversity and screening of antifungal compounds, indole-

- 3-acetic acid and siderophore production. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25(4), 649-655.
24. Kimura, M., Asakawa, S., 2006, Comparison of community structures of microbiota at main habitats in rice field ecosystems based on phospholipid fatty acid analysis. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 43(1), 20-29.
25. Kim, T. U., Cho, S. H., Han, J. H., Shin, Y. M., Lee, H. B., Kim, S. B., 2012, Diversity and physiological properties of root endophytic actinobacteria in native herbaceous plants of Korea. *The Journal of Microbiology*, 50(1), 50-57.
26. Kokare, C. R., Mahadik, K. R., Kadam, S. S., 2004a, Isolation of bioactive marine actinomycetes from sediments isolated from Goa and Maharashtra coastlines (west coast of India).
27. Li, Q., Chen, X., Jiang, Y., Jiang, C., 2016, Morphological Identification of Actinobacteria. In *Actinobacteria-Basics and Biotechnological Applications*. InTech.
28. Mansour, S. R., 2003, The occurrence and distribution of soil actinomycetes in Saint Catherine area, South Sinai, Egypt. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences (Pakistan)*.
29. Matsukawa, E., Nakagawa, Y., Iimura, Y., Hayakawa, M., 2007, Stimulatory effect of indole-3-acetic acid on aerial mycelium formation and antibiotic production in *Streptomyces* spp. *Actinomycetologica*, 21(1), 32-39.
30. Miyadoh, S., 1997, *Atlas of actinomycetes*. Society for Actinomycetes Japan.
31. Moncheva, P., Tishkov, S., Dimitrova, N., Chipeva, V., Antonova-Nikolova, S., & Bogatzewska, N., 2002, Characteristics of soil actinomycetes from Antarctica. *Journal of Culture Collections*, 3(1), 3-14.
32. Narayana, K. J. P., Ravikiran, D., Vijayalakshmi, M., 2005, Screening of *Streptomyces* species from cultivated soil for broad spectrum antimicrobial compounds. *Asian journal of microbiology biotechnology and environmental sciences*, 7(1), 121.
33. Panaiyadiyan, P., Chellaia, S. R., 2011, Biodiversity of microorganisms isolated from rhizosphere soils of Pachamalai Hills, Tamilnadu, India. *Research Journal of Forestry*, 5(1), 27-35.
34. Park, H. B., Lee, J. K., Lee, K. R., Kwon, H. C., 2014, Angumycinones A and B, two new angucyclic quinones from *Streptomyces* sp. KMC004 isolated from acidic mine drainage. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 55(1), 63-66.
35. Priyadharsini, P., Dhanasekaran, D., 2015, Diversity of soil allelopathic Actinobacteria in Tiruchirappalli district, Tamilnadu, India. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 14(1), 54-60.
36. Sabu, R., Soumya, K. R., Radhakrishnan, E. K., 2017, Endophytic *Nocardioopsis* sp. from *Zingiber officinale* with both antiphytopathogenic mechanisms and antibiofilm activity against clinical isolates. *3 Biotech*, 7(2), 115.
37. Sarkonen, N., Könönen, E., Summanen, P., Könönen, M., Jousimies-Somer, H., 2001, Phenotypic Identification of Actinomyces and Related Species Isolated from Human Sources. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 39(11), 3955-3961.
38. Sarmiento-Vizcaíno, A., González, V., Braña, A. F., Palacios, J. J., Otero, L., Fernández, J., Molina, A., Kulik, A., Vazquez, F., Acuna, J.L., García, L. A., 2017, Pharmacological potential of phylogenetically diverse Actinobacteria isolated from deep-sea coral ecosystems of the submarine Avilés Canyon in the Cantabrian Sea. *Microbial ecology*, 73(2), 338-352.

39. Sharma, S. C. V., David, E., 2012, A comparative study on selected marine actinomycetes from pulicat, Muttukadu, and Ennore estuaries. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2(3), S1827-S1834.
40. Shirling, E. T., Gottlieb, D., 1966, Methods for characterization of *Streptomyces* species. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 16(3), 313-340.
41. Sirisha, B., Haritha, R., Jaganmohan, Y. S. Y. V., Sivakumar, K., Ramana, T., 2013, Bioactive compounds from marine actinomycetes isolated from the sediments of Bay of Bengal. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemical and Biological Science*, 3(2), 257-264.
42. Smitha, C., Vijisha, M.C., Jayasudha, M., 2016, Plant growth-promotion potential of phosphate Solubilizing actinomycetes from Wayanad soils. *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture* 2, Issue 2, [February - 2016].
43. Solanki, R., Khanna, M., Lal, R. 2008, Bioactive compounds from marine actinomycetes. *Indian journal of microbiology*, 48(4), 410-431.
44. Stach, J. E., Maldonado, L. A., Ward, A. C., Goodfellow, M., Bull, A. T., 2003, New primers for the class Actinobacteria: application to marine and terrestrial environments. *Environmental microbiology*, 5(10), 828-841.
45. Strobel, G., Daisy, B., Castillo, U., Harper, J., 2004, Natural products from endophytic microorganisms. *Journal of Natural products*, 67(2), 257-268.
46. Subhasish, S., Dhanasekaran, D., Shanmugapriya, S., Latha, S., 2013, *Nocardiosis* sp. SD5: A potent feather degrading rare actinobacterium isolated from feather waste in Tamilnadu, India. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 53(7): 608-616.
47. Taddei, A., Rodríguez, M. J., Márquez-Vilchez, E., Castelli, C., 2006, Isolation and identification of *Streptomyces* spp. from Venezuelan soils: Morphological and biochemical studies. I. *Microbiological Research*, 161(3), 222-231.
48. Thawai, C., Tanasupawat, S., Itoh, T., Suwanborirux, K., Suzuki, K. I., Kudo, T., 2005, *Micromonospora eburnea* sp. nov., isolated from a Thai peat swamp forest. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*, 55(1), 417-422.
49. Vimal, V., Rajan, B. M., Kannabiran, K., 2009, Antimicrobial activity of marine actinomycete, *Nocardiosis* sp. VITSVK 5 (FJ973467). *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 1(2), 57-63.
50. Vijayakumar, R., Muthukumar, C., Thajuddin, N., Panneerselvam, A., Saravanamuthu, R., 2007, Studies on the diversity of actinomycetes in the Palk Strait region of Bay of Bengal, India. *Actinomycetologica*, 21(2), 59-65.
51. Xue, L., Xue, Q., Chen, Q., Lin, C., Shen, G., & Zhao, J., 2013, Isolation and evaluation of rhizosphere actinomycetes with potential application for biocontrol of *Verticillium* wilt of cotton. *Crop Protection*, 43, 231-240.
52. Yanai, R. D., Majdi, H., Park, B. B., 200, Measured and modeled differences in nutrient concentrations between rhizosphere and bulk soil in a Norway spruce stand. *Plant and soil*, 257(1), 133-142.
53. You, J. L., Cao, L. X., Liu, G. F., Zhou, S. N., Tan, H. M., Lin, Y. C., 2005, Isolation and characterization of actinomycetes antagonistic to pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. from nearshore marine sediments. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 21(5), 679-682.
54. Zhang, X. Y., He, F., Wang, G. H., Bao, J., Xu, X. Y., Qi, S. H., 2013, Diversity and antibacterial activity of culturable actinobacteria isolated from five species of the South China Sea gorgonian corals. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 29(6), 1107-1116.